

# On Freedom of Speech and Censorship



Steve Beckow  
Editor-in-Chief  
Golden Age of Gaia

Vancouver: Golden Age of Gaia, 2025

Copyright declined. Please copy freely

## **Table of Contents**

Introduction	3
Ensuring Human Rights Means Enforcing Limits	5
Freedom has Limits; or, Looking to Go Another Way	9
It Isn't That We Don't Censor....	15
We're Not Conservatives and We Do Censor	19
While We Were Sleeping	21
“Free Speech” is Not Totally Free	25

## Introduction



It isn't that we don't censor. We do.

But, like so much about our political scene, we willfully choose to overlook the stigma of censorship when it's our side doing it.

We look upon our side as being virtuous, and it is, but we also favor news reports, for example, that favor us - that paint our soldiers as heroes and their soldiers as nefarious thugs.

Free speech is looked upon as being constitutionally protected but it can't be used to mount an attack on another person's human rights.

I can't say to you I'm going to kill you. Threatening harm like that is against the law. You can think of it as an exception to free speech.

We can therefore say that we prize freedom of speech but with some important exceptions.

And that's what we explore here.

\*\*\*

As a general matter, as we recover from a time of devolution, we need to be making finer and finer distinctions in our laws and social codes. We've gone through a period where our social thought and behavior has been purposefully dumbed down. Rap music illustrates that, as it was meant to. (1)

Now we're on the rebound and we have to recreate so much of what we lost. The main thing I'm concerned with is restoring civil discourse. And I'll have more to say on that later.

Thank you to three people I've bounced a lot of these ideas off of: Suzanne Maresca, Sitara Williamson, and Kathleen Mary Willis. Thank you especially for the listening that allowed me to get my ideas out on the table where the whole of it could become clear.

### **Footnotes**

(1) See "The Secret Meeting that Changed Rap Music and Destroyed a Generation," June 30, 2020, at <https://goldenageofgaia.com/2020/06/30/the-secret-meeting-that-changed-rap-music-and-destroyed-a-generation-4/>

# Ensuring Human Rights Means Enforcing Limits

November 22, 2023

<https://goldenageofgaia.com/2023/11/22/ensuring-human-rights-means-enforcing-limits/>



*Where are the limits? Credit: [breitbart.com](https://www.breitbart.com)*

We're making what I consider to be a mistake in our discussions of human rights. That mistake, I think, will cause us chagrin in the end.

Take freedom of speech as an example. We talk about it as if it's unbridled, unlimited, unqualified. No, it isn't. No human right is. That's the mistake we make: In assuming that human rights are unlimited.

Otherwise I could use freedom of speech as a defense for inciting a mob to lynch you. There has to be a limit.

From 1998 to 2006, I sat on perhaps 1500 human-rights claims. (1) As a result of that experience, it seems fundamental to me that a human right cannot be used to defend an act abhorrent to society. The two are at opposite ends of the spectrum.

In this case, the act is a threat to the right to existence - the life of the person I'm threatening to lynch. I won't be allowed to kill someone and hide behind human rights.

\*\*\*

Another way of saying that is that I'm not aware of any human right that is not limited to a domain, beyond which it becomes an excuse for criminality.

There are many things I don't have the right to do. I don't have the right to harm you, with some primarily medical exceptions. I don't have the right to control you, abuse you, rob you, torture you.

Human rights work by stopping some people to maintain the freedom of others.

\*\*\*

If freedom of speech were unqualified, I predict that the Internet would turn into the equivalent of an urban back alley in less than a week, with the equivalent of wall graffiti all over the place.

I don't want that for this site and I think you rely on us to prevent it. Whose freedom are we then protecting?

Have we not learned from the deep state's use of "woke" culture to confuse and frighten the public? Do we want to throw away our discernment, our discrimination? Is that wise?

Banning something outright or accepting it outright proves to be too blunt an instrument. When the exceptions become too numerous, we sense we've backed ourselves into a corner.

The answer is to be found, I think, in the middle, in the center, between the extremes of "always" and "never." We won't escape the need to distinguish between the two in whatever court or tribunal interprets the human-rights charter at issue.

I suggest we approach the discussion neutrally, factually, and respectfully, as we would any discussion in our own courts and tribunals.

As always, there will be exceptions that will need to be adjudicated. The usual metaphor is the difference in motive between a knife in the hands of an assassin vs. a knife in the hands of a surgeon.

\*\*\*

It's a maxim with me that "human rights" can only apply to something that benefits, not to something that harms.

In my view, it isn't that we shouldn't have a global vote.

It isn't that we shouldn't have a global digital currency.

It isn't that we shouldn't have some restrictions on some people's speech in order to protect the freedom of others.

Everything depends on the integrity of the one(s) overseeing the process. In the right hands, global opinion polls and voting could be beneficial. In the wrong hands, they could be a great evil.

In the right hands, a global digital currency might make a lot of sense. In the wrong hands, no.

In the right hands, monitoring and assuring whatever standards we decide on in public communications are acted on may create a workable global communications environment. In the wrong hands, it'd be a bad move towards censorship and control.

But the answer is neither no control or tight control. The answer is the least amount of control needed to maintain a workable communicational environment.

Remaining in and returning to the middle lowers the temperature and keeps bonds intact which are often broken by taking extreme positions.

\*\*\*

I think it'd be folly to raise the rallying cry "unqualified freedom of speech." Wouldn't we be back at square one again? Haven't we tried that avenue many times? Isn't that where netiquette came from?

In my view, after the Ring of Fire, when we're feeling the love that fuels the higher planes, we need to sit down and decide what the standards will be that will guide the new Internet. (Right away I think of the Westminster Declaration.) (2) And then how are we going to ensure that those standards are adhered to?

We want the Internet to cease being a battleground for the ego and become again a playground for the soul. To do that, we need to build a workable global communications environment for future generations to make use of and build on.

### **Footnotes**

(1) As a Member of the Refugee Division of the Immigration and Refugee Board of Canada, Vancouver office.

(2) "Westminster Declaration," Nov. 20, 2023, at <https://goldenageofgaia.com/2023/11/20/the-westminster-declaration/>



# Freedom has Limits; or, Looking to Go Another Way

March 13, 2024

<https://goldenageofgaia.com/2024/03/13/352792/>



Your freedom, my freedom in Fourth-Dimensional human society has limits.

I'm not free to shoot anyone. I'm not free to rape anyone. I'm not free to burn someone's house down.

There are always limits to freedom. They're there for our protection against those who would abuse us if we were not protected.

You can see that the whole world needs protection against a cabal that has administered a toxic vaccine to the global population.

Would you have believed it possible a decade ago? So freedom cannot, in society, be allowed to exist without reasonable and morally-acceptable limits. You cannot depopulate the globe and cite your free will and freedom of action as your defense.

The same things apply to human rights. I don't have the right to ... and go through the list.

In short, I don't have the right to harm you, except in limited exceptions like medical emergencies, armed-forces responses, etc.

My rights at least pause but usually completely stop where my words and behavior promise to harm you.

\*\*\*

When we get passionate, we tend to boil things down to their lowest common denominator. Thus we think in terms of "freedom" without going deeper, without making finer distinctions.

If someone makes one for us, our passion has us want to ignore anything perceived as opposition. We don't think clearly. To borrow a phrase, we enter the fog of conflict.

Anyone who hinders our freedom is part of the Internet Censorship Complex. Then we become libertarians or commies or whatever the name of the moment is and the political debate further densifies. ... And we get nowhere.

Which is what the deep state - the puppeteers - wants. Now we have another rigid and hostile division weakening our overall lightworker effort.

We're not going in the right direction. There's no cheese down this tunnel.

\*\*\*

For me as a global citizen, the greatest part of the problem arises when we swing out to the extremes, which have a common characteristic of having us speak in terms of "always" and "never."

People dig in and become their stands and commitments but, because the temperature has risen so high in the meantime, a peaceful resolution recedes farther and farther into the distance. Stands become positions as rigidity sets in. Generations pass agreeing to the same, seemingly-endless feuds.

We won't find the answers in the extremes. In my view, the first thing we need to do - always - is come back to the center, the middle, the heart. Stay calm, the sign reads. Yes. Let's call that Phase One.

Phase Two would be to listen. In my estimation, most people who are ready to fight share a feeling of not having been heard. Before anything else occurs we need to have as many global town halls as are needed for people who haven't been heard until now to be heard, broadcast, and recorded. (1)

We hear of Truth and Reconciliation Commissions. Truth must come first. Otherwise how do we know what we're redressing and reconciling?

As a result of this listening phase, the truth of situations long covered up or misrepresented must be established to everyone's satisfaction. No leaving out the Armenian genocide or the Holocaust. No more creation of bogeymen.

The world must have a reliable narrative upon which to base the redress of wrongs, etc., in Phase Three.

It's worth noting in passing that the world already has a reliable record of everything that has happened: The Akashic Records. So ultimately the truth *will* be known. There's nothing hid that shall not be.

Phase Three would see the established and accepted truth adjudicated by the relevant authorized tribunals to redress wrongs and stop any further incursions and crimes.

If I were the architect of our return to peace, that's how I'd script it.

\*\*\*

This all assumes that other actions are going ahead simultaneously. The removal of the cabal from power. The rescue of all cabal-imprisoned women and children, wherever on Earth (or elsewhere) they're to be found. Their full recovery in med beds. The closing down of all cabal finances and the re-introduction of their stolen wealth into the G/NESARA economy. (2)

No executions. Even if we don't know what else to do with the convicted criminals, we won't have long to wait before the rising lovelight makes it impossible for them to continue. They'll leave under their own steam.

The Mother tells us what she's doing from her side of the veil:

Divine Mother: Those people who just simply say “no, I don’t want to do this” will be gathered up in mercy, in compassion, gentleness and kindness – not brought to somewhere else, let me be very clear about that – they will be brought home for reconstitution. ...

*They will either die beforehand or die during, because they cannot incorporate the level of the love energy – because that is what Ascension is – they cannot incorporate that and it literally... you have heard of situations, especially you... have heard of situations where people’s hearts simply stop or explode for no explained reason.*

It is simply because they cannot continue on, and the merciful thing to do is to simply, gently and kindly, bring them home. (3) (My emphasis.)

No need to execute them. Period. Full stop.

\*\*\*

All of this would be clear to us if we agreed not to swing out on the extremes, where we forget our moral compass. If instead we strengthened our commitment to remaining in the middle, where all the divine qualities are to be found, all of this, I believe, would be or would soon become clear to us.

All of this is a matter of self-control. Self-control is not a virtue that's very much promoted these days. We need to regain it as a matter of self-preservation.

Our lack of control has been extolled as a virtue, but we now see how deep the evil goes. If you don't see by now, well, you will by the end of the ten days of broadcasts.

In sum, when we become heated, desperate, or in other ways extreme, caution goes out the window and the destruction of everything we value begins. It's the cycle of conflict on a global level. (4)

Surely we've had enough of destruction and are looking to go another way.

### **Footnotes**

(1) All of this assumes the integration of new systems such as Starlink, the Quantum Financial System, the Quantum Voting System, etc.

(2) NESARA stands for National Economic Security and Reformation Act; GESARA is its global extension. G/NESARA will bring humane changes to the economy which includes a universal basic income, debt forgiveness, etc.

It will see ill-gotten gains returned to the people, as Matthew tells us:

"The Illuminati's illegally and immorally garnered fortunes will be put into circulation and their exploitation of natural resources worldwide will end. Since that power base is what enabled them to set government and banking policies and own multinational corporations, those corrupt controls also will end.

"The huge debts of the poorest nations were incurred by their state of desperation, often caused by Illuminati actions and influence; but the loans went to the despots ruling the countries and did not benefit the citizens, so those debts will be annulled and assistance given directly to the people." (Matthew Ward, "Essay on 2012," Dec. 31, 2007, at <https://www.matthewbooks.com>.)

"The unspeakable fortunes illegally amassed by the top Illuminati will be returned to circulation, and since those fortunes enabled them to control governments, banking and multinational corporations, that control will end. ...

"The Illuminati money [will] be distributed wherever the need is greatest." (Matthew's Message, Jan. 7, 2007.)

(3) "Universal Mother Mary's Ascension Splash!," channeled by Linda Dillon, May 31, 2017 at <https://counciloflove.com/2017/05/universal-mother-marys-ascension-splash/>.

(4) See *Leaving the Cycle of Conflict* at <https://goldenageofgaia.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/Leaving-the-Cycle-of-Conflict-26.pdf>

# It Isn't That We Don't Censor....

September 10, 2024

<https://goldenageofgaia.com/2024/09/10/it-isnt-that-we-dont-censor/>



*At the turn of the century, I was in awe at the precision and clarity of Canadian Supreme Court decisions*

I just posted an article on free speech in Brazil and I feel the need to make a distinction which the article doesn't go into. (1)

Free speech is not unlimited. No human right is unlimited, to the best of my knowledge.

I can't threaten your life and, when accosted, say: "Uh uh, uh uh. Freedom of speech."

My freedom ends where it threatens you and yours.

Human rights are limited. They can't be used as a shield to hide behind, as is being done quite often today (irresponsible charges of "misinformation, disinformation," paid shills for fact-checkers), while committing or covering up human-rights crimes, abuses, and atrocities.

Obviously protecting human rights (which means restraining someone) requires a great deal of judicial interpretation along the way to make finer and finer

distinctions, that thereafter guide the society. At least that's how it was with Canadian jurisprudence at the turn of the twenty-first century when I was aware of it. (2)

As a refugee decision-maker, I used to read Supreme Court decisions like mystery novels. I loved the way they developed their arguments.

Today we read of supreme-court judges being flown to Gitmo. I can't speak for the judicial scene in any country today - look at Brazil! But at that time (turn of the century), I felt well-protected and well-served by our Supreme Court.

\*\*\*

Behind human-rights law - at much higher levels of Reality - there's always the notion of balance. The whole judicial machinery can be seen in this light.

Law addresses and seeks to end a harmful social situation. The guilty are restrained. The temperature returns to normal, individually and socially.

A settlement of affairs is made that allows everyone to get on with their lives.

We're bringing things back to the balance point, the middle, the center.

Fancy that. What else is in that same center? The heart. WELL, WHADDYA KNOW!

The heart is an interdimensional portal and here we are restored to it. I'll be darned.

Who is responsible for this fortuitous design? Michael tells us: "The Mother ... is the ultimate architect." (3)

The Mother herself clarifies:

Divine Mother: Although it is the Father's and my Eternal Divine Plan, I am also Guardian of this Plan – I am Overseer, I am Implementer.... I guide the pieces as if this was a chess board. (4)

Things are restored to balance so that we can get on with life's purpose - to know who we are. (5)



In hearing this explanation, we're right down at hard-wiring level. But this hard wiring encourages us, out there in what we mistakenly believe to be the "real world" and guided by the Mother's Will, to write laws that will return us to the center, peace, the heart.

Only in that peaceful center can we discover who we really are.



So to repeat, our human-rights laws operate by prohibiting one person's acts which violate the human rights of another. There are acts of prohibition - and hence censorship - involved. I may not start a blog advocating the killing of all (*fill in the blanks*). There is censorship for such a blog and probably imprisonment for me.

To represent this as a censorship-free world ignores the fact that we do censor malicious, genocidal, pedophilic, and other types of reprehensible publications, which violate the overarching human right to a peaceful, protected life of those they criticize, attack, and attempt to destroy.

It isn't that we don't censor. It's that what we want to censor must be a well-defined and clear violation of human rights and that decisions regarding it are regularly reviewed and adjudicated if necessary, as an added protection to all concerned.

### **Footnotes**

(1) "Bolsonaro Leads Massive Free Speech Protest In Brazil After Supreme Court Bans X," Sept. 9, 2024, at <https://goldenageofgaia.com/2024/09/09/bolsonaro-leads-massive-free-speech-protest-in-brazil-after-supreme-court-bans-x/>

(2) I spent eight years applying refugee law as a Member of the Immigration and Refugee Board of Canada, 1998-2006.

(3) "Archangel Michael on the Angelic Kingdom," via Linda Dillon, June 13, 2014, at <http://goldenageofgaia.com/2014/06/13/archangel-michael-on-the-angelic-kingdom/>.

(4) "The Mother's Clarion Call to All of Humanity!" via Linda Dillon, April 20, 2020, at <https://goldenageofgaia.com/2020/04/20/the-mothers-clarion-call-to-all-of-humanity/>.

(5) I could tell you the answer but it won't make the slightest difference. We have to realize it. See *The Purpose of Life is Enlightenment* at <https://goldenageofgaia.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Purpose-of-Life-is-Enlightenment-3.pdf>

What? The answer? OK. The answer is we are all God, each and every one of us. No impact? Yes, it has to be more than intellectual knowledge; more than experiential knowledge. It has to be realized knowledge.

# We're Not Conservatives and We Do Censor

January 30, 2025

<https://goldenageofgaia.com/2025/01/30/were-not-conservatives-and-we-do-censor/>



Here are two matters I'd like to address lest they continue to up-end us, down the line. And I acknowledge that I have mentioned them before.

The first is that folks are saying they're being called conservatives and they don't feel like conservatives. Here are Bill Maher and Elon Musk having that conversation:

Bill Maher: You and I are both in a small group ... maybe it's a bigger group now ... who are called conservatives who haven't really changed. I don't think of you as a conservative.

Elon Musk: I at least think of myself as a moderate. (1)

I'm not a conservative, not by native inclination nor as a Trump supporter. I'm a Trump supporter and would be even if he left the Republican Party.

The tie is personal, to Donald Trump, not either partisan, to the Republican Party, or else attitudinally, to conservatism. And I think this may apply to many people.  
(2)

I'm a reformer in a time of draining the swamp and a centrist in peacetime politics. Like Elon said, I'm a moderate.

So that's the first thing.

Second thing: It's a misunderstanding of free speech to say "no censorship!" Of course we practise censorship. Not all speech is allowed. Free speech has to conform to laws against hate speech; where speech does not, the speaker is liable to legal action.

Just see what happens to the person who stands in Times Square and shouts "I'm gonna kill that bastard Donald Trump!" He might be censored with lethal force.

Right now we're watching The View get sued by two people, for hundreds of millions of dollars, for defamatory statements. Where is free speech now? You see what I mean?

Thus, it may be more accurate to say that we accept free speech except where it presents a clear and present danger of harming or inviting harm upon another or others.

So: Not all Trump supporters are conservatives and we do censor people under certain circumstances.

### **Footnotes**

(1) Go to 05:57-06:14:

([https:// www.youtube.com/watch?v=07p\\_OjDYE0E&t=380s.](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=07p_OjDYE0E&t=380s))

# While We Were Sleeping

Feb. 26, 2025

<https://goldenageofgaia.com/2025/02/26/while-we-were-sleeping/>



*Free Speech "Conditions Apply"*

Allow me to offer my opinion: Society would break down if "free speech" meant any kind of speech is allowed.

Mentally-deranged people might freely stand on a street corners, shouting, "Kill Trump." Spouses could shout any kind of threats at their partners. People on subways could threaten all they want and say "Free speech!" in their defense.

Ask anyone who stands for free speech, prod a little, and you'll find that they honor exceptions.

## **What Happens When Free Speech is Unfettered and Unprotected**

In my opinion, one generation that does not protect free speech and we end up in a third world war faster than we can ask how it happened.

The Roaring Twenties were about unfettered free speech and freedom of action. When the market crashed, it gave the needed impetus to the Nazi movement, that had been languishing in the preceding time of social prosperity and now took advantage of "free speech."

And there went free speech once and for all. Or "twelve good years," as Reichsmarshal Goering called them (depending on where you sit).

### **Not an Unconditional or Divine Right**

Free speech is not an unconditional right. Thank heavens. When one sees some of the videos of what goes on in some subways or public parks, we may give thanks that the law protects us from some "free speech."

It's not a divine right. If there were no brakes on free speech, why would there be a Law of Karma? Say what you want; enjoy the karmic return.

It's a socially-given, socially-negotiated, and socially-protected right that has socially-agreed-upon exceptions and consequences.

I'm hoping that the Quantum Voting System will provide us with a means of polling public opinion. That would facilitate the process of socially-negotiating our boundaries as a society. (It will also provide an instrument that the deep state will try its best to infiltrate and control.)

Free speech within bounds has always been what democracies have offered. There's a line for every person and for society - expressed in its laws, if honorably promulgated, socially accepted and fairly interpreted.

### **Our Own Governments Corrupted**

Our world governments have become corrupt through the Satanic deep state's efforts. Our own governments are setting wildfires and causing hurricanes. Or burning down a city with directed-energy weapons (LaHaina HI and Paradise CA).

Dictators do not like free speech. And dictators nowadays could be a network, a judge, a mayor, a governor, or, as we saw for the last four years, nameless forces operating a masked "president." (1)

For the most part, almost all of them will have their day in (military) court and what will be in evidence, what will convict them, etc., will be their words. Spoken words. Written words. Where is free speech when the charge is treason?

Everyone today is watching for corruption in government and doesn't trust the government ... to do anything, really. Nonetheless, as you can see, it hasn't stopped the demand for the government to ensure free speech or the demand to be protected from someone else's "free speech."

(Honest) government is necessary and (honest) limits on free speech are also necessary.

### **Woke Culture, the Higher Dimensions, and Free Speech**

We just went through a period as an international society where "woke" culture was offered in part because a readjustment of society's treatment of certain groups of people was requested. "Free speech" was being said to be in need of being modified, as it regularly is in our society to match society's evolving temperament.

The higher dimensions all feature free speech, but they're beyond harming anyone else. The love there is so powerful that a harmful thought never arises. (2)

We demonstrably are not there yet. Walking through the density of the Third Dimension, thinking at a slow pace, and feeling next to nothing compared to even "one floor up" (the astral planes), (3) our tongues have not yet lost the power to harm.

### **Fine Line**

Ours a fine line to walk. But we can see how censorship, like everything else, can be politicized. Talk shows thrive on panelists reinforcing each other. If that reinforcement is that "Trump is a convicted felon," then free speech is giving birth to the very circumstances (censor Trump) that would end it.

Organized corruption exists in our society at all levels right now.

It happened while we were sleeping.

Donald Trump and his team, the white-hat Global Military Alliance, the Galactic Federation of Light and other universal alliances are all participating to end it.

We need to do our share now by promulgating laws with integrity that explain, to all, the areas of and the limits on freedom of speech.

## Footnotes

(1) The astral plane. See *New Maps of Heaven* at [https://goldengaiadb.com/index.php?title=New\\_Maps\\_of\\_Heaven](https://goldengaiadb.com/index.php?title=New_Maps_of_Heaven)

(2) I've had experience of the consciousness states of dimensions up to the twelfth, as Michael helped me identify here (there are no road signs):

Steve: The space that I call transformative love, what dimension is it?

Archangel Michael: It is the seventh dimension.

Steve: Then what dimension is bliss?

AAM: It is between eight and nine.

Steve: And ecstasy?

AAM: Twelfth. (AAM, Jan. 20, 2016.)

In none of them, would the love that prevails there leave room for a harmful thought to arise.

(3) For a complete tour of the astral planes, see *New Maps of Heaven* at [https://goldengaiadb.com/index.php?title=New\\_Maps\\_of\\_Heaven](https://goldengaiadb.com/index.php?title=New_Maps_of_Heaven). (Forgive the formatting. When the Reval hits I'll be able to afford a revamp.)



# “Free Speech” is Not Totally Free

March 13, 2025

<https://goldenageofgaia.com/2025/03/13/free-speech-is-not-totally-free/>



I'm going to turn to the subject of censorship vs free speech again, (1) because it's come up with regard to Secretary of State Mark Rubio's social-media response to a reporter on free speech and terminating the visitor's visa of a Hamas supporter.

“President Trump appealed to a lot of Americans on free speech arguments and not suppressing speech, especially from the government,” the reporter asked.

”Your revocation of the green card to many is seen as one of the most anti-speech actions a Secretary can take with his powers,” he continued.”How do you respond?” (2)

I give Secretary Rubio's response in the same tweet, below.

The point I want to make here is that there is no such thing as "free" speech - or, if there is, there shouldn't be.

I cannot come up to you and tell you I'm going to rape and kill you. Are we all agreed?

There are, and may always be, exceptions to free speech. And the main one is, if that speech promises harm to the listener, it is prohibited.

To treat it as a right rather than a negotiated social process ultimately leads to a repetition of this disagreement: No society I know of permits free speech under any and all circumstances. All recognize exceptions.

What the democracies do recognize is the rule of law. But that's a second discussion.

Those who want to end democracy will point to the "hypocrisy" of saying we support free speech and then we censor them - just as Hamas supporters are saying they should be allowed to stay in the US even while they stir up anti-Semitism and promote violence.

On the spiritual side, the spiritual laws don't say that we can say whatever we want without consequences. The Law of Karma sees to it that we experience what we dish out. We suffer the consequences in our next lifetime. So there's no unlimited, swing-from-the-rafters free speech on the spiritual side of life, either.

My chemistry teacher in Grade Twelve taught me never to say "never" - or "always." The only "always" is: "Always leave room for exceptions."

"Free speech" is not totally free. For the safety and wellbeing of the population, there are exceptions. And the exceptions are defined in law, including executive orders.


## **Footnotes**

(1) See also:

- "It Isn't That We Don't Censor," Sept. 10, 2024, at <https://goldenageofgaia.com/2024/09/10/it-isnt-that-we-dont-censor/>
- "While We Were Sleeping," Feb. 26, 2025, at <https://goldenageofgaia.com/2025/02/26/while-we-were-sleeping/>

(2)

Reporter: "Your revocation of the green card to many is seen as one of the most anti-speech actions a Secretary can take with his powers. How do you

respond?" [@MarcoRubio](#)'s response is fierce and factual  [pic.twitter.com/uVwJy30dVo](https://pic.twitter.com/uVwJy30dVo)

— Gabriel Noronha (@GLNoronha) [March 12, 2025](#)

See also Ben Kew, "Marco Rubio Brilliantly Shuts Down Reporter Suggesting Visa Revocation of Hamas Supporters Violates Free Speech (VIDEO)," Gateway Pundit, Mar. 13, 2025, at <https://www.thegatewaypundit.com/2025/03/marco-rubio-brilliantly-shuts-down-reporter-suggesting-visa/>