

Muslims Did Not Cause 9/11. We Did



Steve Beckow
Editor-in-Chief
Golden Age of Gaia

Vancouver: Golden Age of Gaia, 2023

Copyright declined. Please copy freely

Table of Contents

In the Name of All Who Died on 9/11, We Must Act Now	3
To Muslims of America, I Apologize	11
What War on Terror?	20
“Ground Zero Mosque” and 9/11	35
"Everybody Knows They're Trying to Kill Us"	46
This 9/11, Vindicate the American Muslim Community	51
This 9/11, It's Time to Apologize to Muslims	56
Muslims Did Not Cause 9/11. We Did	71

In the Name of All Who Died on 9/11, We Must Act Now

Sept. 4, 2007

<https://goldenageofgaia.com/issue-mediation-process-r3/accountability/911-essays/in-the-name-of-all-who-died-on-911-we-must-act-now/>

Original Content at https://www.opednews.com/articles/opedne_steve_be_070903_in_the_name_of_all_w.htm



George Bush says that he entered the “war against terror” to avenge the deaths of 3,000 Americans who died on 9/11.

Three-thousand Americans did die on 9/11 and, even though I am a Canadian and not an American, I honor every one of them. But, in my view, they did not die in an act of foreign terror.

I allege that they died at the hands of unknown parties under the direction of President George Bush and Vice-President Dick Cheney.

This September 11, let's remember how they died and why they really died. Because we now stand on the verge of perhaps the biggest catastrophe that we could ever conceive if we behave like sheep around 9/11 and allow America to go to war with Iran.

Let's review the events of that day.

Remember the Fox employee who reported from the street that the second plane to hit the World Trade Center did not look like a passenger plane? It had no windows, he said, and it had no logo like any he had ever seen.

It was not a passenger plane. It was a military drone. The first time the United States considered using military drones was in the Lyman Lemnitzer/Joint Chiefs' plan called the Northwoods Document (google) in the early 1960s. Drones have been used to test the impact on planes of jet-fuel crashes for decades.

When the second plane hit WTC 2, that would have been the first crash that you and I observed on TV that day. The networks did not catch the first crash. The film that the French crew shot was aired the next day.

But George Bush could tell an audience a while later that he saw the first crash while he was on his way into the Florida classroom. What channel might he have been watching that none of the rest of us had access to? How was whoever filmed it able to catch a plane crashing into the WTC when no one else knew about it? I argue that the coincidence is improbable.

No reinforced steel and concrete building before or since WTCs 1 and 2 has collapsed because of a fire.

Yet there is that small matter of a 48-storey building that did collapse because of a fire. A little known building. You may have overlooked it, although it proves the fraudulence of the whole 9/11 scenario.

It was that other WTC building. WTC 7. It had an office fire in it. Just paper burning. No plane and no great degree of debris hit it. And yet, at around 5:30 p.m. on 9/11, owner Larry Silverstein gave the order to "pull it." Pull a 48-storey building with a small fire in it. Well, as I recall, nothing surprised us on 9/11 after the Twin Towers collapsed.

But, nevertheless, “pulling it” is a demolition term for bringing the building down in a controlled explosion.

Notice in the videos of that day, which we may see again this year, that the New York firemen on the street receive an order to clear the area some time before Building 7 comes down (I think it was an hour before) and then blam! Down it comes.

Silverstein told the command of the FDNY to pull Building 7 and the command did. Did the FDNY command say to Silverstein: "What do you mean - pull it?" After all, how would the FDNY know that Building 7 was rigged with thousands of thermate cutter charges to "pull"? Does that not suggest that the FDNY command was also "in the know," before 9/11 or during?

The video shows WTC 7 neatly falling into its own center and then collapsing straight down, just like a controlled demolition. Well, if it was a controlled demolition, the thousands of thermate charges needed to do it could not have been positioned that day. They must have been positioned before 9/11.

Did you ever hear Mayor Rudy Guiliani protest that his emergency offices were in the building that Silverstein ordered pulled? Not a word on the subject.

Before we leave the matter of politicians and foreknowledge, can anyone help me understand how it could be that Condoleeza Rice could phone Mayor Willy Brown's office on September 10 and tell the mayor not to fly the next day? What did she know?

If you were really observant (which I was not), you might have noticed that the BBC announced the fall of Building 7 a half hour before it actually came down (see YouTube). Look behind the reporter on the scene in New York at around 5:03 p.m. New York time, saying that Building 7 has come down. There it is - Building 7. How could the BBC know a half hour before WTC 7 collapsed that it was about to come down?

Why blow up Building 7? Who benefitted? Building 7 housed the investigative files of the SEC on companies like Enron and several other major Wall Street investigations. It also housed the offices and files of the local CIA and FBI operations.

So, yes, one other reinforced steel building in history besides WTCs 1 and 2 has come down as the result of a fire and that was WTC 7.

Notice that WTCs 4, 5 and 6 were hit by tons of debris and remained standing.

It is short of one hour after the first plane has hit. We are watching news footage of a woman standing in the hole created by the plane, waving a white flag. How hot could that area be? Its companion tower (I no longer remember if it was 1 or 2) is belching black smoke, which is the signal of an oxygen-starved fire. How could a fire that is out and one that is barely burning bring those buildings down?

Fire did not bring the Twin Towers down. One witness after another said, "I heard explosions." New York firemen said, "Bang, Bang, bang, bang" on all the floors. Watch the squibs from the numerous cutter charges on all the lower floors. Watch the debris and you'll see it cascading outwards – explosively.

Watch the building fall neatly and symmetrically into its own footprint. Tell me a fire did that. If a building collapsed and no explosion was involved, it would fall asymmetrically.

The speedy free-fall of the buildings is another tell-tale sign of a controlled demolition.

Look at the photos of the debris of WTCs 1 and 2 and you will see the angled incisions in the steel girders, a telltale sign of thermate cutter charges. Prof. Steven Jones, investigating debris from the site, found traces of thermate in it, an explosive that only the military has.

No, my friend, those thousands who died in the Twin Towers had their lives ended by the man who watched the first plane crash on TV before going into the Florida classroom and who now wants you to turn the Middle East into a radioactive dust storm.

What about the hundreds who are alleged to have died at the Pentagon? I say "alleged" because we have never seen a body from Flight 77. As a matter of fact, we have never seen Flight 77.

Let us restrict ourselves to the live coverage of that building. There is a hole perhaps 20 feet wide – prior to the roof collapsing. There is no wreckage on the

lawn. Although the plane is alleged to have come in almost at ground level, there are no marks from the hot jetstream or from engine impact.

Speaking of engine impact, where are those engines? They weighed a few tons and were made of titanium steel. They usually survive impact, according to Capt. Daniel Davis of NORAD and later CEO of a jet-engine maintenance company. He said that no jet-fuel fire burns hot enough to consume titanium steel. (See patriotsquestion 911.com.) The engines should have been there.

And while we're on the subject, where are the tail and wings of the aircraft? Where are signs of the impact of the tail and wings on the Pentagon building? Where is any baggage? Seats? We already know there were no bodies.

Lt. Col Karen Kwietkowski was at work at the Pentagon that day (patriotsquestion911.org) and hurried out to the scene. What she saw shocked her because it didn't point to a plane crash; it pointed to a missile hit.

That would line up with Secretary Rumsfeld's slip of the tongue to Parade Magazine that it was a missile that hit the Pentagon.

And who is Hani Hanjour? How did the FBI know he piloted the plane? When the flight school that trained him heard what he was alleged to have done, they were surprised. They said he was just average at flying a Cessna. They had trouble thinking of him flying a 757.

How could this individual with limited flying skills bank the aircraft the way the data recorder indicates and fly a passenger jet in a way only a fighter jet or a missile could fly? How could he fly the jet just feet above the ground and overcome the forces that would be playing on it and him at that moment?

The answer is he could not have done any of those things. Hani Hanjour did not fly a jet into the Pentagon.

And where was NORAD all this time? Robin Hordon, a former Boston Area Center air traffic controller, said the whole FAA system, from Maine to the Mexican border, knew by 8:30 a.m. on 9/11, before any plane hit, that there was an emergency happening. They would have handed off to NORAD immediately.

Hordon also says that the FAA phoned the Pentagon to advise a hijacking and the Pentagon did not answer until minutes before the Pentagon missile hit. That was a long time after WTC 1 and 2.

Transportation Secretary Norman Mineta testified before the 9/11 Commission that Vice-President Cheney was in the Presidential Emergency Operating Center by 9:25 a.m. and was told by his aide that a target was approaching the Pentagon and did the orders still stand. Cheney angrily said they did. What orders? They could only have been to allow the plane with the missile to hit the Pentagon.

Does more need to be said? Do we need to add that cellphones in 2001 did not operate above 8,000 feet so where did the alleged cellphone conversations from Flight 93 come from? Not from 32,000 feet in the air.

Do we need to say that the BBC reported several of the alleged hijackers alive and well within a week or so of 9/11? One was shocked to hear what he was alleged to have done. (See my comment, "The One Era in Human History...", below, on Osama bin Laden and numerous other anomalies.)

President Bush already had orders to invade Afghanistan ready for signing on his desk by 9/11.

The cabinet immediately discussed invading Iraq within a few days of 9/11 even though it was alleged that the whole event was orchestrated from a cave in Afghanistan. Newly-appointed Treasury Secretary Paul O'Neill told CBS that regime change in Baghdad was topic A in the National Security Council right from day one.

Before I give you the bottom line here, if I have your attention (and I thank you for reading this far), I want to apologize to all Muslims who have been blamed, racially-profiled, and stigmatized for the events of 9/11. As far as I can see, 9/11 had nothing to do with you. You again were innocent victims.

What is the bottom line here? The bottom line is that there is no "war on terrorism" unless you could consider the people who staged 9/11 the terrorists. That would make George Bush and Dick Cheney terrorists. Certainly their behavior since then shows them to be so.

You must understand me. I mean that you can go out in the sunshine today and say "The war on terrorism is a fraud." If you didn't mind getting thrown in the clink, you could tell that to the next policeman you meet at the airport.

The bottom line is that there are no reasons of "national security" for hiding all the details of 9/11. There is only "fear of impeachment."

Every time you hear a terrorist alert go off, you are being snowballed.

Now here is the biggest snowball of all.

If you, and I and every other human being on this planet, allow George Bush and Dick Cheney to order an all-out assault on Iran, we may be signing the death sentence for our world.

The release of more than a thousand tons or more of depleted uranium (DU) from a three-day "shock and awe" assault may, when added to the amount of DU released in Iraq, Afghanistan and the Balkans, make this globe an uninhabitable place for all life.

George Bush and his team will have snowed you into a situation from which there may be no recovery, no retreat.

DU has a half life of 4.5 billion years. It deforms babies. It incapacitates. It kills everyone. There is no safe-exposure limit. There is no protection against it. It cannot be cleaned up.

President Bush, in visiting Iraq and touching military equipment, may quite possibly have sealed his own fate. Will we see him in the hospital with cancer again?

Regarding all the soldiers coming down with "Gulf War Syndrome," the major part of it, I am told, is DU poisoning. The governments of the United States and Great Britain have said there was no health hazard to DU. This is false. DU is fatal in even very, very small doses.

For the rest of us, we must stop work, get out on the streets, do anything we can to stop this train headed for disaster.

If rumours that an attack on Iran is pending, I personally do not think it an exaggeration to say that the fate of the Earth depends on what we do in the next few days or weeks.

Author's Website: <https://www.freewebs.com/truthseeker22>

To Muslims of America, I Apologize

March 19, 2017/Oct. 17, 2008

<https://goldenageofgaia.com/2017/03/19/to-muslims-of-america-i-apologize/>



Sooner or later we need to stop blaming Muslims for a black op designed to set the wider population against them and distract attention from what's really happening.

I'd change some of the factual statements made in this article, based on more recent research. But I no longer have time to keep up with the baseline of research in the area. The message would remain the same.

Originally Published on OpEdNews

October 17, 2008

<https://www.opednews.com/articles/To-Muslims-of-America-I-A-by-Steve-Beckow-081017-492.html>

A growing consensus of Americans (and, in my case, Canadians) believe that 9/11 was a "false flag" operation carried out by the Bush administration and their agents in the American military and intelligence communities. (1)

According to people who've studied the situation, 9/11 was not caused by 19 Middle-Eastern hijackers with boxcutters; it was an inside job like the Gulf of Tonkin incident in 1964 and the bombing of the U.S.S. Liberty in 1967. (2)

The conspiracy seems to have been aimed at frightening the American people into agreeing to attacks on Afghanistan, Iraq, and Iran. The aim appears to be to capture Middle-East oil and establish American hegemony before the American economy itself collapsed from debt and the greenback fell as the world's premier currency [As they both are now. SB]. (3)

According to Michael Meacher, former British cabinet minister, the administration had been negotiating with the Taliban for a pipeline right-of-way through Afghanistan. They offered a carpet of gold or a carpet of bombs and the Taliban refused the gold. (4) Some say that the plans for the invasion of Afghanistan were already in place before 9/11, that the troops were already positioned. They allege that, prior to 9/11, George Bush had the necessary papers to go to war ready for signing on his desk. (5)

In my view, a view which is not shared by all others in the 9/11 Truth Movement, the North and South Towers were not hit by passenger planes, but by remote-controlled missiles. These allegations explain the pod the proponents of this view point to on the belly of the aircraft that hit Tower 2, the testimony of an eyewitness that the plane that hit Tower 2 had no passenger windows and was not a passenger jet, and the tight flight maneuvers that would have rendered a pilot unconscious and would have been overridden by the plane's onboard computer. (6)

The people I've found convincing point out that all the aircraft involved in 9/11 were followed from the first indications of abnormality by air traffic controllers, but that neither NORAD nor the Pentagon responded to the emergencies. Certainly the FAA knew by 8:30 a.m. on Sept. 11 that an emergency was playing out, a time well before the first crash. This time allowance would have been sufficient for NORAD to have launched interceptors and have brought down the "airliners." (7)

Again, the people I've found convincing argue that the North and South Towers, as well as World Trade Center Building 7, were brought down by thousands of thermate cutter charges. This explanation accounts for the explosive auras bursting outwards as they collapsed and the demolition "squibs" observable in the news

coverage. The fact that the buildings were brought down by controlled demolition is also inferable from the time it took the buildings to collapse (around 8 seconds), and corroborated by reputable architects, engineers, emergency responders, and demolitions experts. (8)

To bring a building down by controlled demolition takes extensive planning over days, if not weeks, moving the date of preparation well back before 9/11. Nineteen Middle-Eastern hijackers with boxcutters could not possibly have engaged in the extensive planning required or have installed thousands of explosives in the three WTC buildings. (9)

According to the view I hold with, the Pentagon was hit by a guided missile, as Donald Rumsfeld himself affirmed in a subsequent magazine interview. (10) Lt. Col. Karen Kwiatkowski worked at the Pentagon and went outside on 9/11 to look at the damage. What she saw shocked her. There was no wreckage on the scene (wings, tail, engines, seats, luggage, bodies). There was an entry hole only 20 feet wide. No adjacent windows were smashed. The lawn was not damaged or scorched. She thought the scene consistent with a missile attack, but not with an airplane crash. (11) Government involvement would explain why the FBI confiscated all film footage and released only five inconclusive frames from all the footage available. (12)

Hani Hanjour, alleged to have piloted the “aircraft,” may have been part of the government’s plot insofar as he trained at an American flight school. His instructor said he was incompetent at flying. The instructor could not imagine Hanjour piloting a 757. When one adds to that the fact that the flight data recorder shows a rapid descent and a bank which a passenger jet could hardly have made and then a precision hit on the first floor of the building which military pilots have said they would find difficult, the hypothesis that Hani Hanjour flew whatever hit the Pentagon becomes too improbable to be believed. (13)

As for the rest of the “hijackers,” the theory is that some of them were paid money to attend flight school, but that is the extent of their role. Mohammed Atta was paid \$100,000 on Sept. 11, the payment being made in Washington through a Pakistani ISI general. On 9/11, Atta entered the United States and was photographed at the airport, following which he took a connecting flight back out of the United States. (14) No Arab names appear on any of the flights’ manifests. (15)

The serendipitous survival and discovery of a “hijacker’s” passport in excellent condition near Ground Zero after the total destruction of the North and South Towers is too improbable to accept. (16) The lack of credibility of such an event must implicate the agents who put the story forward.

At least six of the "hijackers" were found alive and well after 9/11; one was surprised to see his name in the news. This element of the official story is so important that I shall quote at length the evidence refuting it:

“The New Pearl Harbor reported evidence that at least six of the alleged hijackers are still alive. David Harrison of the Telegraph interviewed two of the men who supposedly died on Flight 93, which crashed in Pennsylvania, one of whom said that he "had never even heard of Pennsylvania," let alone died there. The Associated Press reported that Waleed al-Shehri, supposedly on Flight 11, contacted the U.S. embassy in Morocco about two weeks after 9/11. The 9/11 Commission Report, nevertheless, suggested that al-Shehri was responsible for stabbing one of the flight attendants shortly before Flight 11 crashed into the North Tower.” (17)

“Saeed Al-Ghamdi, Mohand Al-Shehri, Abdul Aziz Al-Omari and Salem Al-Hazmi ‘are not dead and had nothing to do with the heinous terror attacks in New York and Washington,’ the Saudi Arabian embassy told the Orlando Sentinel.”

“Saudi Arabia's Foreign Minister Prince Saud Al-Faisal told the Arabic Press after meeting with President George W. Bush on Sept. 20th [that] "it was proved that five of the names included in the FBI list had nothing to do with what happened.” (18)

Some news reports point out that Osama bin Laden stayed in the American military hospital in Dubai and was visited by the local CIA chief in June/July 2001. (19) At that time, he was wanted for the African embassy bombings, but no one attempted to arrest or harm him.

Others point out that, on Sept. 10, 2001, Osama bin Laden was admitted into a Pakistani hospital for his renal condition. He was closely guarded by the Pakistani

military, though no explanations were furnished as to why. Surely the U.S. would have been aware of bin Laden's whereabouts on Sept. 11. (20)

Again, one has to notice that the FBI is not actively pursuing bin Laden for 9/11, as their spokesmen have said and as their website wanted poster demonstrates. (21)
Last January, the CIA shut down its team dedicated to finding bin Laden. (22)

Why would they do that if bin Laden was the author of the worst crime in U.S. history?

One of the frustrations of investigating events that are being covered up is that the investigator can say what sounds untrue (contradictory, improbable, implausible, etc.), but one cannot say what is true. I therefore have no idea if Osama bin Laden actually played some role in 9/11, but, if he did, increasingly that role comes to resemble a part paid for by the CIA.

Now why do I bring up these events? I do not bring them up because I want you to subscribe to my view of them as what really happened on 9/11. I do not know what really happened. I'm not sure anyone does, with the exception that scientists who have looked at physical remains and others like them can with some assurance say what events happened at the chemical and physical level.

I write this article to say that it does seem clear to me that 9/11 was made in America and made by what appear to be officials at the highest levels of power.

Following these events, these same officials dictated that a policy of rounding up American Muslims, racially-profiling them at airports, and treating the community with suspiciousness should prevail, even though they themselves had caused the catastrophe and not the American Muslim community.

I acknowledge here that I know practically nothing about the American Muslim community. I know very, very little about world Islam. I am not an expert on 9/11 either. It was not my intention to write on any of those themes.

It was my intention to offer my apologies to the American Muslim community for my having bought the official story of 9/11 for so long and shared in the suspiciousness towards them as a result of it.

Looking from the vantage point I do now, I see no grounds for blaming Muslims for 9/11.

It may be that the actions of the American government have created a “war on terror” where none existed as of 2001 - I don’t know. Unjust and illegal wars in Afghanistan and Iraq have killed millions of people and made the U.S. many new enemies. But again I don't claim to be an expert on these circumstances.

But I do believe that the “war on terror,” along with 9/11 itself, was “made in America.” Every time I hear of a terror alert, I do suspect that I'm being manipulated.

Recently Vice-President Cheney, CIA Director Gen. Michael Hayden, and other major and minor political figures hinted that a second 9/11 could be expected. I think that, should it occur, this event too will be an inside job and will have nothing to do with the American Muslim community. And I feel a need to say it before an event like that occurs.

In my mind, George Bush, Dick Cheney and unknown other shadowy figures whom I cannot name are the real terrorists. They're guilty of mass murder and high treason. And we're complicit through our silence and in accepting as the explanation their false charges against Muslims.

Footnotes

(1) See for example www.patriotsquestion911.org and www.911truth.org.

(2) On the Gulf of Tonkin incident, see “The Gulf of Tonkin Incident,” Wikipedia, <https://tinyurl.com/2tcalk>, 12 Sept. 2007; David Ray Griffin, “Myth Number 1: Our political and military leaders simply would not do such a thing,” <https://tinyurl.com/2tqu34>; on U.S.S. Liberty, see Paul Joseph Watson, “Former Reagan Deputy and Colonel Says 9/11 ‘Dog That Doesn't Hunt,’” Knowledge Driven Revolution, 29 June 2006, <https://tinyurl.com/3yzozu>; “U.S.S. Liberty Incident,” Wikipedia, <https://tinyurl.com/zny38>; Jim Marrs, Journalist and Author of Rule by Secrecy in the video One Nation Under Seige.

(3) Michael Meacher, “This war on terrorism is bogus,” The Guardian, 6 Sept. 2003; Mike Whitney, “The Dollar’s Full-System Meltdown,” Global Research, 31

Oct. 2006; “Interview with David Ray Griffin,” Whole Life Times, <https://tinyurl.com/36lh4b7>.

(4) See Sources in footnote 3, as well as Michel Chossudovsky, “Is the Bush Administration Planning a Nuclear Holocaust? Will the US launch ‘Mini-nukes’ against Iran in Retaliation for Tehran's ‘Non-compliance’?” Global Research, 22 February 2006; Chossudovsky, “The Criminalization of US Foreign Policy. From the Truman Doctrine to the Neo-Conservatives,” Global Research, 5 February 2007.

(5) Meacher, *ibid.*; Alex Jones and Charlie Sheen on radio at PrisonPlanet.tv, <https://tinyurl.com/erh7b>.

(6) Videos, Loose Change, 9/11: In Plane Site and 9/11: The Ripple Effect.

(7) Former Boston Center controller Robin Hordon interviewed by Pilots for 9/11 Truth in Aviation Reality on 9/11, <https://tinyurl.com/2vn4oa>; Hordon, Former FAA Air Traffic Controller at the Boston Air Route Traffic Control Center, located in Nashua, NH, 1970 - 1981. Former Certified Commercial Pilot. Former Certified Flight Instructor and Certified Ground Instructor. www.PatriotsQuestion9/11.com, received 28 July 2007; Mark Ehrman, “Getting Agnostic about 9/11,” L.A. Times.com Magazine, 28 August 2005.

(8) Richard Gage, “Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth,” <https://tinyurl.com/23cspo>, downloaded 28 July 2007; Gibb Wake, “Iraq war veteran and experienced demolitions expert blows the cover on 9/11 inside job,” National Writer’s Syndicate, 31 July 2007, <https://tinyurl.com/29p7ut>; Dr. David Ray Griffin, “9/11 and the Mainstream Press,” 9/11 Visibility Project, 29 July 2005, <https://tinyurl.com/2fapll>; “America's Leading Collapse Expert Thought South Tower Was Brought Down With Explosives,” 911blogger.com, <https://www.911blogger.com/node/11241>.

(9) Statement of Barbara Honegger, MS, Patriots Question 9/11, <https://tinyurl.com/yr3yge>; New Jersey Emergency Medical Technician who wishes to be known only by his first name, Mike, writing to Loose Change producer Dylan Avery, Conspiracy Planet, 7 August 2007, <https://tinyurl.com/ytqdaf7>.

(10) Interview with Parade Magazine, shown in the video Loose Change.

- (11) Statement of Lt. Col. Karen Kwiatkowski, Ph.D., Patriots Question 9/11, downloaded <https://www.patriotsquestion911.com/>.
- (12) Bill McKelway, “Three Months On, Tension Lingers Near the Pentagon,” Richmond Times-Dispatch, 11 Dec. 2001, <https://tinyurl.com/3qe7a>; Dr. David Ray Griffin, “9/11 and the Mainstream Press,” 9/11 Visibility Project, 29 July 2005, <https://tinyurl.com/2fapll> size="3">.
- (13) Dr. David Ray Griffin, “9/11 and the Mainstream Press,” *ibid.*; Russ Wittenberg, commercial and Air Force pilot who flew two of the planes used in 9/11, Wing TV, in Loose Change, <https://tinyurl.com/2ug2kg> ; Statement of Barbara Honegger, *ibid.*; Statement of Commander Ted Muga, U.S. Navy (ret), Patriots Question 9/11, <https://www.patriotsquestion911.com>; Statement of Lt. Col. Jeff Latas, Patriots Question 9/11, <https://tinyurl.com/ypyzrm>; video 9/11: In Plane Site.
- (14) 9/11Truth.org, Answers to 9/11 Families’ Questions, posted 20 July 2007 at <https://tinyurl.com/246peb>; Statement of Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney, former 6-term Congresswoman from Georgia 1993 - 2002, 2005 – 2006; member of the House Armed Services Committee and Member of the International Relations Committee, Patriots Question 9/11, <https://tinyurl.com/g56bh>.
- (15) David Ray Griffin in <https://www.911truth.dk/first/en/faq.htm>.
- (16) Tony Rennell, “9/11 on Trial,” Daily Mail, 6 Aug. 2005, <https://tinyurl.com/d8xp4>.
- (17) Dr. David Ray Griffin, “9/11 and the Mainstream Press,” *ibid.*
- (18) “Alleged Hijackers Alive and Well,” 9/11 Research, <https://tinyurl.com/yrdwj2>. See also Richard Heinberg, author, The Oil Depletion Protocol in Coincidences 9/11, Part 10.
- (19) Video Loose Change.
- (20) *Loc. cit.*
- (21) <https://tinyurl.com/syn8m>, downloaded 31 July 2007; Dr. David Ray Griffin and Rob Balsamo, “Could Barbara Olson Have Made Those Calls? An Analysis of


New Evidence about Onboard Phones,” Pilots for 911 Truth, 26 June 2007,
<https://tinyurl.com/2jspa6>.>

(22) “CIA Reportedly Disbands Bin Laden Unit,” <https://www.ny911truth.org/>

What War on Terror?

OpEdNews, Oct. 28, 2008

<https://goldenageofgaia.com/issue-mediation-process-r3/accountability/911-essays/what-war-on-terror/>



Originally published on OpEdNews

Last revised: October 28, 2008

To fully understand that there is no war on terror save that which our governments have intentionally created, one has to go back to the early 1970s, when the war industry in America was looking for ways to justify space-based weapons programs.

Carol Rosin was then a corporate manager with Fairchild Industries, where she met Dr. Werner von Braun. Then dying of cancer, von Braun decided that Rosin was someone he could confide in. He began to relate to her what the military-industrial

complex, of which both of them were part, planned to do in the years ahead. In Rosin's words, he told her:

“You have to understand. ... We have to prevent the weaponization of space. ... There is a lie being told to everyone. ... There are many enemies,” he said, “against whom we're going to build this space-based weapons system, the first of whom was the Russians...”

“Then there would be terrorists, then there would be third-world countries,” now we call them rogue nations, or nations of concern. “Then there would be asteroids. And then,” he would repeat to me, over and over, “The last card. The last card. The last card would be the extra-terrestrial threat.”

And now we hear in the news, today, just this week that they have slipped in another new enemy – only this time we're going to protect our satellites. In other words, we have to have some reason to spend these trillions, to waste these dollars on a space-based weapons system. “And it's all lies.”

...

And these are the words that Werner von Braun told me in 1974 and I will testify before the Congress under oath about everything I have said. (1)

Rosin provided a second version of this story, which is important enough for us to review here. Here she brings in what she saw at Fairchild Industries as well as what von Braun told her:

When I was a corporate manager at Fairchild Industries in 1974 through 77, I met the late Dr. Werner von Braun, in early 74. At that time, von Braun was dying of cancer. But he assured me that he would live a few more years in order to tell me about the game that was being played, that game being the effort to weaponize space.

Von Braun's purpose in life during the last years of his life, his dying years, was to educate the public and decision-makers about why space-based weapons are dumb, dangerous, de-stabilizing, too costly, unnecessary, unworkable, undesirable.

The strategy that Werner von Braun taught me was that first the Russians are going to be considered to be the enemy - in fact when I met him in 74 they were the enemy - the identified enemy. We were told that they had killer satellites. We were told that they were coming to get us and control us, the dirty commies, that whole story. First the Russians were the enemy against whom we were going to build space-based weapons.

In 1977, I was at a meeting in Fairchild Industries, in a conference room called “the War Room,” and in that room were a lot of charts on the wall, with enemies, identified enemies, names of people I had never heard of, names like Saddam Hussein and Khaddafi.

But we were talking then about terrorists, the potential terrorists. No one had ever talked about this before. But this was the next stage after the Russians against whom we were going to build space-based weapons – these terrorists.

This is 1977 and they were talking about creating a war in the Gulf region when there was \$25 billion in the space-based weapons program that ... had not [yet] been identified. It wasn't called the Strategic Defence Initiative at least until 1983. This weapons system had obviously been going on for some time that I didn't know anything about.

So I stood up in this meeting in 1977 and I said I'd like to know why we're talking about space-based weapons against these enemies. I'd like to know more about this. Would somebody tell me what this is about? Nobody answered. They went on with this meeting as if I hadn't said anything.” (2)

The fact that the members of the meeting accepted the chart of “enemies” as ordinary, normal, and not to be discussed, suggests that its contents had been decided on earlier than 1977.

One way of putting what Werner von Braun and Carol Rosin talk about here in context is to say that the military-industrial complex (MIC) operates on the principle of always having an enemy. Wars are its lifeblood.

In the year 2000, a group of neoconservatives calling themselves the Project for a New American Century predicted that, short of a “catastrophic and catalyzing

event—like a new Pearl Harbor," (4) it would be difficult to rally America behind their goal of rebuilding America's defenses.

On September 11, 2001, PNAC got the "new Pearl Harbor" they wanted, the *raison d'être* for a new war – a "war on terrorism." On "9/11," a team of conspirators brought down three World Trade Center buildings, attacked the Pentagon, and allegedly destroyed an unknown type of aircraft above Pennsylvania. (3)

In my opinion, the membership of the team, if collusion in events implies membership, consisted of the President, the Vice-President, some members of the cabinet, some aides, the command structures of Northern Command, NORAD, the Navy, the CIA, and the FBI, foreign operatives including MOSSAD and the Pakistani ISI, and allies in businesses like Securacom, United Airlines, the World Trade Center, etc.

Let's look at how this false-flag operation was probably run.

In the view of events that I accept, the 9/11 base of operations was the U.S.S. *George Washington*, anchored off Long Island. Boeing 757 or 767 drone jets, originating from an unknown base, loaded with napalm, and remote-controlled by a white jet and helicopter launched from the *Washington*, attacked World Trade Center Buildings 1 and 2. (5)

A Fox News employee said that he witnessed the second plane go into the World Trade Center. It had no windows on it and a large blue logo. He described it as more like a cargo plane than a passenger jet. (6) In the only clear pictures I have seen of it, it has a pod under its belly, which normal passenger jets do not have. Flight 11, according to the Bureau of Transportation Statistics, was not on the scheduled list to fly on 9/11. (7)

A flash of light is visible as the planes hit the Twin Towers, followed by a napalm explosion. The resulting fires eventually cooled and were close to going out when the military helicopter circling the building ignited, by remote control, thousands of previously-set thermate cutter charges, bringing down the Twin Towers. (8) The cutter charges were probably installed prior to 9/11, during several total closures of the WTC buildings. (9) Security for the complex was provided by Securacom, a company of which Marvin Bush, the President's brother, was a director. (10)

After the buildings were destroyed, no one was allowed to examine the debris, which was quickly carted away and shipped overseas. (11) In other words, the evidence from the crime scene was disposed of. No criminal investigation has ever been held and no charges have been laid.

Building 7 was not hit by a plane. Only small fires were seen burning inside it. Owner Larry Silverstein admitted to PBS that it was “pulled” or demolished:

“I remember getting a call from the fire department commander telling me they were not sure they were going to be able to contain the fire. I said, you know, we’ve had such terrible loss of life. Maybe the smartest thing to do is pull it. And they made that decision to pull. And we watched the building collapse.” (12)

One cannot "pull" or demolish a building in real time. Qualified demolitions experts would need to install numerous cutter charges according to a complicated firing pattern, so as to bring the building straight down in its tracks. The simple act of “pulling” a building places the date of the inception of the whole drama well before 9/11 and leaves out the ad hoc work of nineteen hijackers with box cutters and plastic knives.

In “pulling” the building, the conspirators destroyed thousands of Securities and Exchange Commission case files on corporate fraud, including those related to Enron, Worldcom, and the California electricity swindle. They also destroyed the local offices of the Secret Service, CIA and FBI. One investigative documentary speculated that Building 7 was “the hub for the 9/11 plan.” (13)

Mayor Rudy Giuliani had his emergency bunker in Building 7, but he chose not to go near his command center that day, preferring to remain downtown. (14) At 4:57 p.m., the BBC mistakenly announced the fall of Building 7, which can be seen still standing behind the reporter as she speaks. The building itself did not fall until 5:20 p.m. (15) It appears that someone in the know mistakenly sent out the press release prematurely, evidence of prior knowledge.

Moving to the Pentagon, two possible scenarios are extant. One is that the incoming object was an A3 Skywarrior drone, launched from the U.S.S. George Washington, with no passengers and a load of napalm, remotely controlled by a carrier-launched helicopter seen circling the building that day. This version lines up

with certain pieces of wreckage (cockpit window, engine rotor) found at the site and fits with BBC news footage and the sole released surveillance camera footage.

Jon Carlson and Karl Schwarz have also mapped the plane's contours to the pattern of damage. (16) This account takes in the testimony of a witness who saw the incoming plane and described it as an 8-20 passenger "corporate jet" with no markings on the side. (17) While the plane was not a corporate jet, this testimony does lend support to the plane version. Admittedly it is hard to imagine a plane penetrating three layers of the Pentagon unless it was specially built or reinforced for the mission.

The second version is that it was a DU-hardened cruise missile. Donald Rumsfeld himself suggested that a missile crashed into the Pentagon in a subsequent magazine interview. (18)

Lt. Col. Karen Kwiatkowski worked at the Pentagon and went outside on 9/11 to look at the damage. What she saw shocked her. There was no wreckage. There was an entry hole only 20 feet wide. No adjacent windows were smashed. The lawn was not damaged or scorched. She thought the scene consistent with a missile attack, but not with an airplane crash. (19)

Whatever hit the Pentagon struck the exact area that housed the budget oversight group, one day after Donald Rumsfeld had said that \$2.3 trillion had gone missing from the Pentagon's budget. (20) Again the napalm exhausted itself in perhaps seconds, perhaps minutes and no extensive fire resulted, although a passenger jet about to fly over the Atlantic would be loaded with fuel, the explosion of which would be expected to cause a widespread disaster. (21)

Instead we find very limited damage. No bodies, luggage, seats, tail, wings, or engines from a Boeing 757 were ever located and no conclusive footage from the 80 or so cameras focused around the area was ever released. Again, according to the Bureau of Transportation Statistics, Flight 175 was not scheduled to fly that day. (22) No criminal or National Transportation Safety Board investigation was ever launched. (23)

Whatever constituted Flight 93 appears to have been either blown up in the air or hit by a missile. (24) Again, as with the Pentagon, there were no bodies, luggage, seats, tail, wings, or engines visible at the crash site. No firm indication exists that

an airplane was involved at all. Of Flight 93, Somerset County coroner Wally Miller said: "I stopped being coroner after about 20 minutes because there were no bodies there." (25)

Given that cellphones did not operate above 8,000 feet in the air in 2001 and Flight 93 would have been flying at around 32,000 feet, cruising altitude for a jetliner, the cell phone calls alleged to have occurred that day could not have been made. (26) The two Airfone calls released to the public each contain irregularities which call their authenticity into question. (27)

The only theory that I am aware of that explains what may have happened to the passengers is that of the video Loose Change. Remember that no bodies were seen to be recovered from the planes that hit the Pentagon, Pennsylvania, or the World Trade Center. (28) However, a plane identified as Flight 93 was reported by local TV station WCPO to have landed at Cleveland Hopkins Airport on Sept. 11:

Plane Lands in Cleveland; Bomb Feared Aboard

A Boeing 767 out of Boston made an emergency landing Tuesday at Cleveland Hopkins International Airport due to concerns that it may have a bomb aboard, said Mayor Michael R. White.

White said the plane had been moved to a secure area of the airport and was evacuated.

United identified the plane as Flight 93. (29)

Apparently, at approximately 10:00 a.m., Cleveland airport was evacuated amid rumours that a hijacked airplane was going to land. Flight 93 landed at approximately 10:45 and disembarked its passengers who were then taken to an empty NASA research center adjacent to the runway. Mayor Michael White reported that the passengers on board numbered 200, which is the approximate number of all passengers allegedly aboard the four "hijacked" planes on 9/11. No word exists on what happened to the 200 passengers who were taken to the NASA facility that day. (30)

There were no Arab names listed on any flight manifest or in any alleged autopsy report as one might expect if the "hijackers" were legitimate passengers on the

aircraft. (31) Within days of 9/11, the media tracked down nine of the alleged hijackers, still alive in either Saudi Arabia, Morocco, or Tunisia. (32) They could not have been killed aboard the 9/11 flights and still be alive. On Sept. 20 and 27, 2001, FBI Director Robert Mueller reported that there was no legal proof to establish the identity of the hijackers. (33) Neither the alleged hijackers nor Osama bin Laden were ever charged for 9/11.

A NORAD training exercise was scheduled for Sept. 11, 2001 and diverted interceptors from the area. (34) Even though the FAA was aware of the events unfolding as of 8:30 a.m., a new hijacking protocol had been imposed on them some months earlier which took first-response control out of their hands and gave it to the Pentagon. The Pentagon did not answer its emergency line that morning until it was too late. (35) In the end, only a single wing of jets was scrambled and sent out hundreds of miles over the Atlantic. (36) No jets were scrambled from the U.S.S. George Washington, which was stationed just off Long Island. There is no entry in the Washington's "history of deployments" (or log book) for the period from August 28 to September 12, 2001. That is, the officers of the Washington do not say what the carrier was doing or where it was deployed during that time. (37)

No one in the military was disciplined for any of the "failures" of 9/11. Instead officers within NORAD and other services and officials in government departments received promotions. What was the "job well done" for which they were promoted?

The Patriot Act was quickly passed after 9/11, just as the Counter Terrorism Bill was quickly passed after the Oklahoma City Bombing (alleged to have been another false-flag operation), leaving the impression that the Patriot Act had already been written up.

As well, two days before 9/11, President Bush had already signed an order to invade Afghanistan. American and British forces were already stationed in Tajikistan and Uzbekistan, ready to go and a carrier group was already in position. (38)

At the very time the airliners hit the World Trade Center, Osama bin Laden was lying in a hospital bed in Rawalpindi for his renal condition, surrounded by a contingent of Pakistani military. The Pakistanis made no attempt to arrest him and

the Americans made no request for it, even though bin Laden was at that time wanted for the African embassy bombing if not for 9/11. Later the CIA closed the unit assigned to search for him; the FBI never charged him; and bin Laden is now assumed to have died from renal failure. (39)

Meanwhile, in Washington on Sept. 11, a Pakistani ISI general was given a briefcase containing \$100,000 to be given to Mohammed Atta for his part in the conspiracy. (40)

Larry Silverstein, who purchased the World Trade Center a few months earlier, made \$2.2 billion (some say higher) in insurance claims from 9/11. Nearly \$800 million in gold went missing from a section of the WTC cordoned off by the FBI. A reconstruction of hard drives found in the rubble revealed \$100 million in illegal transactions made on 9/11. \$2.5 million in “put” options against United Airlines remained unclaimed. The makers of Loose Change described 9/11 as “a lie which killed thousands of people, only in turn killing hundreds of thousands more, to make billions upon billions of dollars.” (41)

There are many details that I've left out here in consideration of space - the implausibility of Hani Hanjour piloting the Pentagon plane, Vice-President Cheney's whereabouts, the implausible NORAD training scenario, etc.

However, in consideration of what has been said, I allege that the “war on terror” is a fiction, an American creation. It was envisioned a quarter of a century before 2001 and carried out to begin a “war on terror” that was part of an American plan to ensure continued military spending and “full-spectrum dominance” in the new century.

Said retired Lt. Col. Sheldon F. Lankford:

Your countrymen have been murdered and the more you delve into it the more it looks as though they were murdered by our government, who used it as an excuse to murder other people thousands of miles away.

If you ridicule others who have sincere doubts and who know factual information that directly contradicts the official report and who want explanations from those who hold the keys to our government, and have motive, means, and opportunity to

pull off a 9/11, but you are too lazy or fearful ... to check into the facts yourself, what does that make you? (42)

The “war on terror,” planned since 1977 and inaugurated by the false-flag operation of 9/11, has been the basis for all foreign policy and many aspects of domestic policy since then; specifically, for invading Afghanistan and Iraq, devastating those countries, killing innocent civilians, incarcerating and torturing thousands, stripping Americans of their civil rights, building above-ground detention centers and deep underground military bunkers (DUMBs), transferring billions into the coffers of the MIC, challenging Russia with missiles on her borders, and threatening to nuclear-bomb Iran. And yet, the war on terror, on which all this is predicated, was entirely created by the United States.

American officials continue to run drills for attacks on American cities which are all falsely predicated on a second 9/11, they themselves having been the source of the first. All of the surveillance, security measures, and terror alerts are bogus.

When next you hear a terrorist alert or a huge appropriation for the “war on terror,” know that you are being manipulated and lied to. When next President Bush comes on TV and tells you about his commitment to the “war on terror,” know that that war was of his own making.

Footnotes

(1) Carole Rosin, “Weaponization of Space,” Metacafe, at https://www.metacafe.com/watch/yt-z-nheW7faMY/carol_rosin_weaponization_of_space/.

(2) Carol Rosin, UFOs: The Greatest Story Ever Denied, Youtube, Part 8 of 9, at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=iDh9tijPeFk&feature=related>.

(3) See, for example, "In the Name of All Who Died on 9/11, We Must Act Now," OpEdNews, 4 Sept. 2007, at <https://tinyurl.com/6r2dvu>; "To Muslims of America, I Apologize," OpEdNews, 19 Sept. 2007, at <https://tinyurl.com/5jgssd>; and David Ray Griffin, The New Pearl Harbour. See also Christopher Bollyn, “Mossad – The Israeli Connection to 9/11,” Rense.com, 14 April 2005, at <https://www.rense.com/general64/moss.htm>; www.patriotsquestion911.org; and www.freewebs.com/truthseeker22.

(4) David Ray Griffin, “Myth Number 2: Our political and military leaders would have had no motive for orchestrating the 9/11 attacks,” at <https://www.911truth.dk/first/en/faq.htm>.

(5) Jon Carlson, “The 9/11 Base Of Operations: Aircraft Carrier USS George Washington,” at <https://home.att.net/~south.tower/911AirBase1.htm>; Bridgestone Media Group, In Plane Site, video; and 9:11: The Ripple Effect, video.

(6) In Plane Site and Loose Change.

(7) Bureau of Transportation Statistics, Loose Change, video.

(8) Jon Carlson, “The 9/11 Base Of Operations: Aircraft Carrier USS George Washington,” *ibid.*; Dr. Steven E. Jones, “Why Indeed Did the WTC Buildings Completely Collapse?” *Journal of 9/11 Studies*, at <https://tinyurl.com/25ynar>; Richard Gage, “Architects and Engineers for 9/11 Truth,” at <https://www.911blogger.com/node/10025>; “America's Leading Collapse Expert Thought South Tower Was Brought Down With Explosives,” *911blogger.com*, at <https://www.911blogger.com/node/11241>; 9:11: In Plane Site; 9/11: The Ripple Effect; The Mysteries of 9/11.

(9) The WTC had a power-down for almost the whole weekend prior to 9/11, to “upgrade Internet cable.” Scott Forbes of Fiduciary Trust commented on it to many commission, including 9/11 Commission who ignored him. “Guys in overalls carrying huge toolboxes and reels of cables walking around the building that weekend.” Security measures were lifted five days before 9/11 and bomb-sniffing dogs were removed five days prior. What would they have discovered?

(Coincidences 911 – Part 9.)

“Ben Fountain, a financial analyst who worked in the World Trade Center Complex, told *People Magazine* that in the weeks before 9/11 there were numerous unannounced drills where sections of both the twin towers and building 7 were evacuated for quote “security reasons.” This was obviously the perfect opportunity to place these explosives. ... On Thursday the 6th [of September] bomb-sniffing dogs were abruptly removed from the building. So who authorized all this? President Bush’s brother, Marvin, was board of directors for Securacom.... Marvin is also a former director for HCC Insurance Holdings, which insured part of the World Trade Center on 9/11.” (Loose Change.)

- (10) Loose Change. See also Margie Burns, “Bush-Linked Company handled Security for the WTC, Dulles and United,” Prince George’s Journal, 4 Feb. 2003, at <https://www.commondreams.org/views03/0204-06.htm>; Dave Lindorff, “Marvelous Marvin, the Man Who Seems to be in All the Right Places,” Counterpunch.org, 29 July 2005, at <https://www.counterpunch.org/lindorff07292005.html>.
- (11) Loose Change.
- (12) Larry Silervstein, “America Rebuilds,” PBS Documentary, 2002, in 9/11 Coincidences, video.
- (13) 9/11 Mysteries, video; see also Loose Change.
- (14) 911 Mysteries.
- (15) “Terrorism Attacks in U.S.,” BBC News, 11 Sept. 2001, in “BBC Reported WTC 7 Collapsed 20 Minutes Before It Fell,” Youtube, at <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=NqqhX8gkhE0>.
- (16) The explosive was known to be napalm based on analysis of the combustion. Karl Schwarz, “What Hit the Pentagon?” at <https://home.att.net/~carlson.jon/911Pentagon.htm>; Jon Carlson, “Analysis of The Pentagon A3 Skywarrior Napalm Bombs Doubletree Hotel 9/11 Pentagon Video,” at <https://tinyurl.com/55y6ws>; Carlson, “The Doubletree Hotel 9/11 Pentagon Video,” at <https://home.att.net/~south.tower/KCDoubletree1.htm>; Loose Change.
- (17) Loose Change.
- (18) Interview with Parade Magazine, shown in the video Loose Change.
- (19) Statement of Lt. Col. Karen Kwiatkowski, Ph.D., Patriots Question 9/11, downloaded <https://www.patriotsquestion911.com/>.
- (20) “The War On Waste. Defense Department Cannot Account For 25% Of Funds — \$2.3 Trillion,” CBS Evening News, 29 Jan. 2002.

(21) Jon Carlson, "Analysis of the Pentagon A3 Skywarrior Napalm Bombs," at <https://tinyurl.com/55y6ws>.

(22) Bureau of Transportation Statistics, Loose Change.

(23) In Plane Site and Loose Change.

(24) "Donald Rumsfeld says Flight 93 Shot Down," at <https://tinyurl.com/6ftk34>. Jeff Pilletts, "In rural hamlet: The mystery mounts; 5 report second plane at PA crash site," The Record, 14 Sept. 2001, at <https://www.flight93crash.com/second-plane-at-flight93-crash-site.htm>; "How Did United Flight 93 Crash? Secondary Debris Field," at https://www.flight93crash.com/flight93_secondary_debris_field.html. Also In Plane Site.

(25) Loose Change.

(26) Michel Chossudovsky, "The 9/11 Commission Report: More Holes in the Official Story: The 9/11 Phone Calls," Global Research, 19 August 2004. Also In Plane Site and Loose Change.

(27) Loose Change.

(28) Loose Change.

(29) 9News Staff, "Plane Lands in Cleveland; Bomb Feared Aboard," WCPO TV, 11 September 2001, 11:43:57 a.m., in Loose Change.

(30) Loose Change.

(31) David Ray Griffin in <https://www.911truth.dk/first/en/faq.htm>.

(32) News stories reporting the hijackers found alive are BBC, https://news.bbc.co.uk/1/hi/world/middle_east/1559151.stm; The Telegraph, U.K., 23 Sept. 2001; L.A. Times, 21 Sept. 2001; Guardian, 21 Sept. 2001; American Free Press, 12 Oct. 2001; Chicago Tribune, 4 Oct. 2001; and Cairo Times, Vol. 5, Issue 29. See also Dr. David Ray Griffin, "9/11 and the Mainstream Press," 9/11 Visibility Project, 29 July 2005, at <https://tinyurl.com/2fapll>; "Alleged Hijackers Alive and Well," 9/11 Research, at <https://tinyurl.com/yrdwj2>; and "At Least 7 of

the 9/11 Hijackers are Still Alive,” WhatReallyHappened.com, <https://tinyurl.com/5mcvbs>.

(33) Loose Change.

(34) Loose Change; Marjie Burns, "The Fog of War Games" -- the miraculous timing of the skyjackers, part 2,” at <https://tinyurl.com/5omda3>.

(35) In Plane Site, video; Robin Hordon, Former FAA Air Traffic Controller at the Boston Air Route Traffic Control Center, located in Nashua, NH, 1970 - 1981. Former Certified Commercial Pilot. Former Certified Flight Instructor and Certified Ground Instructor, <https://www.PatriotsQuestion9/11.org>, received 28 July 2007; Robin Hordon interviewed by Pilots for 9/11 Truth in Aviation Reality on 9/11, <https://www.brasschecktv.com/page/129.html>; 9/11Truth.org, Answers to 9/11 Families’ Questions, posted 20 July 2007 at <https://blogs.albawaba.com/post/2011/73057>.

(36) Loose Change.

(37) Jon Carlson, “The 9/11 Base Of Operations: Aircraft Carrier USS George Washington,” *ibid.* (38) Alex Jones and Charlie Sheen on radio at <https://prisonplanet.tv/audio/200306sheen.htm>.

(39) Michel Chossudovsky, “Where was Osama Bin Laden on September 11, 2001?” Global Research, 9 Sept. 2006, <https://tinyurl.com/zwmyz>.); Barry Peterson, “Hospital Worker: I Saw Osama,” CBS Evening News, 28 Jan. 2002. (“CIA Reportedly Disbands Bin Laden Unit,” <http://ny9/11truth.org>, downloaded from <https://www.ny911truth.org/>, 11 Sept. 2007; Federal Bureau of Investigation, Most Wanted Terrorists (<https://www.fbi.gov/wanted/terrorists/terbinladen.htm>); Ed Haas, “FBI says, ‘No Hard Evidence Connecting Bin Laden to 9/11’” Muckraker Report, June 6, 2006 (<https://www.teamliberty.net/id267.html>).

On July 4, 2001, Osama bin Laden received treatment for his condition in the American military hospital in Dubai, where he was visited by the local CIA Chief. Though wanted for the African embassy bombing, he was not interfered with. (Loose Change.)

(40) Statement of Congresswoman Cynthia McKinney, former 6-term Congresswoman from Georgia 1993 - 2002, 2005 – 2006; member of the House Armed Services Committee and Member of the International Relations Committee, Patriots Question 9/11, at <https://www.patriotsquestion911.com/>; (9/11Truth.org, Answers to 9/11 Families' Questions, posted 20 July 2007 at <https://blogs.albawaba.com/post/2011/73057>).

(41) Loose Change.

(42) Statement of Lt. Col. Shelton F. Lankford, US Marine Corps (ret), Retired U.S. Marine Corps Fighter Pilot, February 20, 2007, at <https://www.patriotsquestion911.com/Statement%20Lankford.html>.

“Ground Zero Mosque” and 9/11

July 13, 2010

<https://goldenageofgaia.com/issue-mediation-process-r3/accountability/911-essays/ground-zero-mosque-and-911/>



This is a difficult column to write, but one which needs to be written nonetheless.

A proposal has been submitted to build a 13-storey Muslim cultural complex near Ground Zero in Manhattan which might, in part, house a religious area which has come to be called a "mosque." The proposal is discussed in the attached article.

The proposal has quickly inflamed a part of New York's population who see it as an insult to the 3,000 New Yorkers who died on 9/11 in the attacks on the World Trade Center.

I can well understand the feelings of those New Yorkers whose loved ones died in the WTC attacks. And I have nothing to say on whether a cultural center containing a mosque should or should not be built.

I honor the dead of 9/11 and the suffering of their surviving relatives and friends.

But the truth of 9/11 will show that both they and the Muslim population, blamed for these events, are innocent victims.

If we'll permit the introduction of what will soon, I believe, be established as facts, we may be able to see that the situation is much, much different than what is being represented - so much so that we may in time be able to see that Muslims deserve, and some day will get, an apology from the American government for all that has happened to them from 9/11 onwards.

I've discussed the subject in the article "To Muslims of America, I Apologize." (1)

In summary the point is this.

Nothing to do with 9/11 traces back to world Islam, whether it be to a terrorist movement that can be associated with a Muslim cause or to nineteen individuals of Muslim faith who allegedly caused the destruction and death on Sept. 11, 2001.

9/11 was truly, as has been said, an "inside job." It was an engineered false-flag operation in which some Muslims played a role, but in the employ of primarily American agencies like the CIA and FBI. It featured not only some Muslims, but also some Israelis as well as nationals from many other countries.

It was led and directed by elements in the American government, which included the leading figures of the Bush Administration (George W. Bush himself, Vice-President Dick Cheney, Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld, and many others), (2) banking and financial houses, (3) alphabet agencies, (4) the North American Air Defense Command, (4) the owners of the World Trade Center, assorted private companies, and many other John and Jane Does who conspired to bring the buildings down.

Not all members of the Bush government supported it, nor did all members of NORAD, nor all bankers, financiers, etc. It was the work of a cabal (albeit a large

one) within these institutions. In the footnotes, I cite my sources for making these allegations.

There were no Muslim hijackers with (or without) boxcutters in the airplanes that crashed on that day, and no Arab names mentioned in the manifests. Six of the hijackers were located alive following that day. Some, like Mohammed Atta who was indeed a part of the plot (some sources today suggest that the real Atta was murdered in Hamburg by Mossad, which sent an agent in his place to America), remain in hiding. One alleged hijacker even said from his home in (if I recall correctly) Morocco that he had no idea where Pennsylvania was, where he was supposed to have been killed. (5)

The Saudi Arabian government presented these facts to President George Bush who accepted them. But nothing was said in the mainstream media about them.

9/11's true originators remain largely free today and have more or less succeeded in fooling the vast majority of the American people.

The whole story of nineteen Arab hijackers is a concoction. It was the intention of the real perpetrators of 9/11 to blame everything on Arab hijackers, Muslim "terrorists," and world Islam, and indeed they have succeeded in doing so.

As for Osama bin Laden, whose CIA alias was "Tim Osman," he was lying in bed in a Rawalpindi hospital that day, surrounded by Pakistani troops, undergoing kidney dialysis. He could not have been recorded saying what the CIA attributed to him. (6)

Muslims of America have been falsely blamed for nine years for something they had no hand in. Muslims of the world have been falsely stereotyped for something that the American government carried out itself to justify the wars in Afghanistan and Iraq and, they hoped, a further war in Iran, a bridge too far.

Some of their objects were to take over the oil fields of the Middle East, the mineral wealth, poppy fields, and drug-trade routes of Afghanistan as well as to further establish the grip of the New World Order over the world's population.

Their further object was to create a "war on terror" where no organized terrorism such as they claimed had existed before. The "war on terror" is entirely a creation

of the Bush government. The terror alerts, the Department of Homeland Security, airport security and everything else are all created elements of a New World Order plot to take over America and eventually the world, a plot that has failed and is now unravelling.

If you find it hard to believe that subsequent "terrorists" such as the shoe bomber and the Christmas bomber could also be CIA assets, the part of the puzzle you may not be privy to is the practice of mind control to create "Manchurian candidates." Once you see how mind control has been used in false-flag operations since the death of Robert Kennedy, you'll wonder no longer.

If you wish to see evidence that the plot was being hatched as early as the mid-1970s, see Carol Rosin's speech with the Disclosure Project, reproduced at the foot of this article. (7)

Many more people than 3,000 died on 9/11. The number is probably closer to tens of thousands.

Now I know this is hard to hear and that the suffering of many New Yorkers and other Americans is touched by my allegations. But the answer is not to continue a travesty of justice such as racially-profiling, jailing, and maintaining discrimination against Muslims. To do so is to multiply innocent suffering.

The Muslim community of America had nothing to do with 9/11 and some day we must face this fact and place responsibility where it belongs. Otherwise this false-flag operation continues to be successful and Americans who blame Muslims continue to be unwilling dupes.

I myself am Jewish. Let me be the first to apologize to Muslims of America for this wrongful accusation, which I participated in as well during the days following 9/11.

I acknowledge that Muslims of the world have been falsely accused and have suffered in the nine years since then. I call for this treatment to end, for the real perpetrators to be tried and convicted, and for the truth to be known this ninth anniversary of 9/11.

Let this Conscious Convergence, scheduled for July 17-8, 2010, be an occasion on which the people of the world draw together in truth and put behind them the lies of the past. The first lie to disavow is the lie of Muslim responsibility for 9/11.

Namaste,

Steve Beckow

New Yorkers Divided Over Planned Mosque Near Ground Zero

Cecily Hilleary | Washington 31 May 2010

American Life

<https://www1.voanews.com/english/news/american-life/New-Yorkers-Divided-Over-Planned-Mosque-Near-Ground-Zero-95183039.html>

Last week, a community board in New York City's Manhattan borough held a symbolic vote on one of the more contentious issues the city has faced in recent years - that is, plans to build a mosque near Ground Zero, the site of the 9/11 Twin Tower terror attacks. Emotions on both sides of the controversy are running high, bringing out the worst and the best in New Yorkers.

You can tell what side of the issue New Yorkers are on by what they're calling it a mosque - a cultural center and in one extreme case, a "house of evil." They are talking about Cordoba House; it's a name which refers to the city in Spain which, in the Middle Ages, was a center of Islamic culture and learning. Cordoba House is a planned 13-story complex which will stand about two blocks away from the site of the September 11th attacks in lower Manhattan.

Manhattan Borough president Scott Stringer is among many political and religious leaders who support Cordoba House. He was present at last week's meeting where members voted 29-to-1 in favor of the project.

"It's a non binding vote, so this was really about getting a barometer about what the lower Manhattan community wanted," said Stringer. "So what was clear was that the overwhelming majority of community board members thought that this proposal had merit. Build a cultural center. Make it interfaith. Have discussions about racial tolerance. Recognize that this is a community that took a hit after 9/11, and that we want to build it back.

He also defends himself against opponents who have attacked his decision to support the project. "There are haters. There are people from the Tea Party who attacked me and other individuals and tried to make this about bigotry and hatred," said Stringer. And the community, in giving a go-ahead, are saying, 'it's okay to explore this possibility.' And I think that is how we win the war on terrorism. We don't become like them. We're better than that."

Stringer refers to disparaging comments made by a leader of the right wing protest movement, Mark Williams, who has called Cordoba House 'a monument to 9/11 attacker.'

Al Santora is a retired New York City Deputy Fire Chief. His 23 year-old son Christopher, also a fire-fighter. He was one of the youngest to die in the September 11th attacks. The older Santora and his wife attended last week's community board meeting, where they expressed their opposition to the mosque. He says the families of 9/11 victims regard the Ground Zero area as in essence a cemetery, a sacred resting place for their sons and daughters.

He articulates the confusion that remains in the minds of some Americans, a difficulty separating al-Quada, the militant group responsible for September 11 from mainstream Islam, worrying that the mosque represents a form of religious or political conquest.

"The thing is so insensitive: To put a mosque within two blocks of Ground Zero is just ludicrous. Now, they try explain it away that it's going to be a 'cultural center,' that it will have a swimming pool and have all sorts recreations, however, they will have a prayer room or a mosque within the building," said Santora.

Santora says that those people making decisions about how the area around Ground Zero should be used have ignored the feelings of the 9-11 families. "What

irks us [the family members] is that we have a stake in this. Our stake is a price that no one wants to pay. And they are not listening to us," he said.

A spokesperson for the Cordoba Initiative told VOA that the mosque will reflect the richness and diversity of New York City, and reflect core American values of freedom of expression and religious faith.

When completed, it will house a 500-seat auditorium for conferences, exhibition spaces, bookstores, restaurants - and the mosque. Planners say it will serve as a community center not just for Muslims, but for the residents, workers and city visitors of all faiths.

Footnotes

(1) "To Muslims of America, I Apologize," originally published on OpEdNews, Oct. 17, 2008, at <https://goldenageofgaia.com/911-essays/to-muslims-of-america-i-apologize/>.

(2) "In the Name of All Who Died on 9/11, We Must Act Now," at <https://goldenageofgaia.com/911-essays/in-the-name-of-all-who-died-on-911-we-must-act-now/>.

(3) September 11 Commission Report Revised, at <https://goldenageofgaia.com/the-commentary-of-others/september-11-commission-report-revised/> .

(4) "In the Name of all Who Died," *ibid.*

(5) "In the Name," *ibid.*

(6) From Michel Chossudovsky, "Where was Osama Bin Laden on September 11, 2001," at <https://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=3194>.

According to Dan Rather, CBS, Bin Laden was hospitalized in Rawalpindi. one day before the 9/11 attacks, on September 10, 2001.

"Pakistan. Pakistan's Military Intelligence (ISI) told CBS that bin Laden had received dialysis treatment in Rawalpindi, at Pak Army's headquarters.

DAN RATHER, CBS ANCHOR: As the United States and its allies in the war on terrorism press the hunt for Osama bin Laden, *CBS News has exclusive information tonight about where bin Laden was and what he was doing in the last hours before his followers struck the United States September 11.*

This is the result of hard-nosed investigative reporting by a team of CBS news journalists, and by one of the best foreign correspondents in the business, CBS's Barry Petersen. Here is his report.

(BEGIN VIDEOTAPE) BARRY PETERSEN, CBS CORRESPONDENT (voice-over): Everyone remembers what happened on September 11. Here's the story of what may have happened the night before. It is a tale as twisted as the hunt for Osama bin Laden.

CBS News has been told that the night before the September 11 terrorist attack, Osama bin Laden was in Pakistan. He was getting medical treatment with the support of the very military that days later pledged its backing for the U.S. war on terror in Afghanistan.

Pakistan intelligence sources tell CBS News that bin Laden was spirited into this military hospital in Rawalpindi for kidney dialysis treatment. On that night, says this medical worker who wanted her identity protected, they moved out all the regular staff in the urology department and sent in a secret team to replace them. She says it was treatment for a very special person. The special team was obviously up to no good.

"*The military had him surrounded,*" says this hospital employee who also wanted his identity masked, "and I saw the mysterious patient helped out of a car. Since that time," he says, "I have seen many pictures of the man. He is the man we know as Osama bin Laden. I also heard two army officers talking to each other. They were saying that Osama bin Laden had to be watched carefully and looked after." Those who know bin Laden say he suffers from numerous ailments, back and stomach problems. Ahmed Rashid, who has written extensively on the Taliban, says the military was often there to help before 9/11.

(...)

PETERSEN (on camera): Doctors at the hospital told CBS News there was nothing special about that night, but they refused our request to see any records. Government officials tonight denied that bin Laden had any medical treatment on that night.

(voice-over): But it was Pakistan's President Musharraf who said in public what many suspected, that bin Laden suffers from kidney disease, saying he thinks bin Laden may be near death. His evidence, watching this most recent video, showing a pale and haggard bin Laden, his left hand never moving. Bush administration officials admit they don't know if bin Laden is sick or even dead.

DONALD RUMSFELD, DEFENSE SECRETARY: With respect to the issue of Osama bin Laden's health, I just am -- don't have any knowledge.

PETERSEN: *The United States has no way of knowing who in Pakistan's military or intelligence supported the Taliban or Osama bin Laden maybe up to the night before 9/11 by arranging dialysis to keep him alive. So the United States may not know if those same people might help him again perhaps to freedom.*

Barry Petersen, CBS News, Islamabad.

(END VIDEOTAPE) END

(CBS News, 28 January 2002 emphasis added, the complete transcript of CBS report is contained in annex to this article)

Much additional information on Muslims and 9/11 can be found at the author's 9/11 website, <https://truthseeker22.webs.com/911hijackers.htm>

(7)"What War on Terror?" at <https://goldenageofgaia.com/911-essays/what-war-on-terror/> .

Carol Rosin was ... a corporate manager with Fairchild Industries, where she met Dr. Werner von Braun. Then dying of cancer, von Braun decided that Rosin was someone he could confide in. He began to relate to her what the military-industrial complex, of which both of them were part, planned to do in the years ahead. In Rosin's words, he told her:

“You have to understand. ... We have to prevent the weaponization of space. ... There is a lie being told to everyone. ... There are many enemies,” he said, “against whom we’re going to build this space-based weapons system, the first of whom was the Russians....”

“Then there would be terrorists, then there would be third-world countries,” now we call them rogue nations, or nations of concern. “Then there would be asteroids. And then,” he would repeat to me, over and over, “The last card. The last card. The last card would be the extra-terrestrial threat.”

And now we hear in the news, today, just this week that they have slipped in another new enemy – only this time we’re going to protect our satellites. In other words, we have to have some reason to spend these trillions, to waste these dollars on a space-based weapons system. “And it’s all lies.” ...

And these are the words that Werner von Braun told me in 1974 and I will testify before the Congress under oath about everything I have said. (1)

Rosin provided a second version of this story, which is important enough for us to review here. Here she brings in what she saw at Fairchild Industries as well as what von Braun told her:

"When I was a corporate manager at Fairchild Industries in 1974 through 77, I met the late Dr. Werner von Braun, in early 74. At that time, von Braun was dying of cancer. But he assured me that he would live a few more years in order to tell me about the game that was being played, that game being the effort to weaponize space.

"Von Braun’s purpose in life during the last years of his life, his dying years, was to educate the public and decision-makers about why space-based weapons are dumb, dangerous, de-stabilizing, too costly, unnecessary, unworkable, undesirable.

"The strategy that Werner von Braun taught me was that first the Russians are going to be considered to be the enemy – in fact when I met him in 74 they were the enemy – the identified enemy. We were told that they had killer satellites. We were told that they were coming to get us and control

us, the dirty commies, that whole story. First the Russians were the enemy against whom we were going to build space-based weapons.

"In 1977, I was at a meeting in Fairchild Industries, in a conference room called "the War Room," and in that room were a lot of charts on the wall, with enemies, identified enemies, names of people I had never heard of, names like Saddam Hussein and Khaddafi.

"But we were talking then about terrorists, the potential terrorists. No one had ever talked about this before. But this was the next stage after the Russians against whom we were going to build space-based weapons – these terrorists.

"This is 1977 and they were talking about creating a war in the Gulf region when there was \$25 billion in the space-based weapons program that ... had not [yet] been identified. It wasn't called the Strategic Defense Initiative at least until 1983. This weapons system had obviously been going on for some time that I didn't know anything about.

"So I stood up in this meeting in 1977 and I said I'd like to know why we're talking about space-based weapons against these enemies. I'd like to know more about this. Would somebody tell me what this is about? Nobody answered. They went on with this meeting as if I hadn't said anything."

"Everybody Knows They're Trying to Kill Us"

March 23, 2011

<https://goldenageofgaia.com/issue-mediation-process-r3/accountability/911-essays/kill/>



CNN is airing a show on Sunday called "Unwelcome: The Muslims Next Door." In its trailer for the show, a woman is quoted as saying "Everybody knows they're trying to kill us."

Actually not everyone, thank heaven. Although we don't hear it said very often, it's a natural outgrowth of 9/11 truth that everyone who realizes that 9/11 was a false-flag operation must also realize that that "Muslims" as Muslims had nothing to do with that operation.

A few Muslims were part of the scenario and more about that later. But they were not participants because they were radical Islamists but rather as props of the people who did engineer 9/11.

Once a person realizes that "9/11 was an inside job," they must also realize that the "War on Terror," which postulates a risk from militant Islam, is also an inside job.

Why it's so important to realize that 9/11 was the invention of people like President George W. Bush, Vice-President Dick Cheney and Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld is that, like AIDS, 9/11 is the gift that keeps on giving. Muslims, having been blamed for the day's events, are discriminated against from that day onward, even though they had nothing to do with the original attack.

I've written the subject up elsewhere, and leave the footnoted references to those articles, (1) but here I just wish to cover some events in an informal manner.

At every point where the 9/11 cover story is examined, it falls apart. If we look at where the 9/11 mastermind, Osama bin Laden, was on Sept. 11, 2001, we find CBS's Dan Rather announcing that he was in a Rawalpindi hospital recovering from dialysis treatment the day before. Yet bin Laden is portrayed in the cover story (and taped) as being in a cave in Afghanistan gloating over the success of the operation.

Again, bin Laden was known to have been in a Dubai hospital two months prior to 9/11, where he was visited by the local CIA chief. Remember that bin Laden was wanted at the time for the Kenyan embassy bombings. Nothing came of the meeting - no arrest, no attempt to harm, no request of the Dubai government to detain, nothing.

Bin Laden has never been charged regarding 9/11 - why? Because those charges would have had to go before a grand jury and be substantiated? No unnecessary digging into events was wanted.

Given that the cabal curtailed and derailed the original 9/11 Commission, why would they risk convening a second? Given that they haven't released anything of all the videotapes at the Pentagon except a few indecisive seconds, why would they create an inquiry that might demand their release?

Bin Laden himself is likely to have died in late 2001, at the latest in early 2002. Benazir Bhutto may have been assassinated in part because she discussed his death, his murderer, and his funeral. Yet even today we hear a raft of American officials say that bin Laden lives. Why?

Of the nineteen alleged hijackers, six were found alive after 9/11 in Saudi Arabia and Morocco. The Saudi government presented its findings of their continued

existence to George Bush, who acknowledged it, though the matter received no media coverage.

They could not have been aboard the planes, which were all allegedly destroyed, and yet be alive afterwards. Their continued existence belies the theory that four planes were commandeered by Muslim hijackers and crashed into targets killing all aboard.

Waleed al-Shehri worked as a pilot in Morocco and turned himself in to authorities after 9/11 to give the lie to allegations that he was aboard Flight 11 and had stabbed a stewardess before the plane crashed into the World Trade Center North Tower.

How likely is it that the planes themselves blew up in the World Trade Center and yet the passport of one of the hijackers could have been recovered from a New York street without any disfiguring burn marks, etc.? It's probably impossible, never mind implausible and improbable.

No Muslim names, as far as I'm aware, appear on the flight manifests of any of the planes connected with 9/11. Since the alleged Arab terrorists were sitting among the passengers, how could they have gotten aboard? No explanation has ever been offered about assumed identities. The problem presented by this situation has never been addressed or explained.

We cannot say that no Muslims were involved with 9/11. A Pakistani ISI general accepted \$100,000 from American officials said to be destined for "Mohamed Atta." He is at least one Muslim involved in 9/11. But he is hardly a Muslim terrorist, even if he was one of the minor architects of the "war on terror," along with his major American colleagues.

On and on the holes in the cover story go. Only one conclusion can be reached. Nineteen Arab hijackers were not responsible for 9/11. And yet on the basis of that allegation hangs all future developments in the establishment and prosecution of a "War on Terror."

One episode of future "terrorism" after another has been revealed by our sources as false-flag operations using mind-controlled Manchurian candidates - the London bombing, the Oklahoma City bombing, the Christmas Day bomber, etc.

Carol Rosin exposed the war against terror as one of a number of scenarios the military-industrial complex devised as far back as the 1970s to keep the United States more or less on a permanent war footing. (2)

These made-up scenarios were designed to accomplish many things - to perpetuate the financial gain of the military-industrial complex, to cause the rise of the national-security state, to whittle down the constitutional rights of Americans, to bring the country under martial law. to allow a takeover by what President George H.W. Bush called the "New World Order," and to allow the Illuminati to bring the population down from 7 billion worldwide to 500 million.

But very few people accept these seemingly-outrageous statements. They prefer to blame Muslims for something the latter had no part in.

So to the woman who says that everybody knows that Muslims are trying to kill Americans, no, I'm afraid what you know is only what you've been led to know by a group of traitors who came very close to taking over your own government as a result of their treasonous actions. All your hatred of Muslims is based on falsehoods and fabrications.

While the 9/11 cover-up may persist for a while longer in the United States, it is much better known in Europe and other parts of the world. It is only a question of time before the true history of that period is revealed.

And when it is, we'll need to apologize to American Muslims and worldwide Islam for the fabrications that were floated and the ill treatment that was meted out to innocent people.

We could begin the healing process by refusing any longer to accept the lie that Muslims were responsible for 9/11.

Footnotes

(1) See for instance:

- [In the Name of All Who Died on 9/11, We Must Act Now](#)
- [What War on Terror?](#)
- [This 9/11, It's Time to Apologize to Muslims](#)

- [To Muslims of America, I Apologize](#)
- [“Ground Zero Mosque” and 9/11](#)
- [The “Demonization” of Muslims and the Battle for Oil \(2007\)](#)

(2) See Carol Rosin before the Disclosure Project, May 2001:

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=7ALLUuvsVkM>

This 9/11, Vindicate the American Muslim Community

September 3, 2011

<http://goldenageofgaia.com/2011/09/03/this-911-vindicate-the-american-muslim-community/>



BBC Reporter reporting the fall of Building 7 before it fell. Building 7 is visible in the background

As we approach the Tenth Anniversary of 9/11, let's not forget one of its hardest-hit, innocent victims - the American Muslim community. (1)

I see that CNN is re-broadcasting its documentary, *Unwelcome: Muslims Next Door* (below), which sums up how many Americans view Muslims. But is there any justification for their attitude?

[youtube]<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gRlqz3e9OrA>[/youtube]

If we consider what I believe are the real facts of 9/11, I would suggest there's no justification for it. It's time to vindicate the American Muslim community and end its suffering.

In our look at the events of that day, where shall we start? At the top, with "mastermind" Osama bin Laden?

If you believe that Osama bin Laden orchestrated 9/11 from a cave in Afghanistan, if you think he followed the events that day and gleefully commented on them, consider where CBS News says bin Laden was on Sept. 10, 2001.

According to Dan Rather, CBS, Bin Laden was hospitalized in Rawalpindi. Pakistan, one day before the 9/11 attacks.

DAN RATHER, CBS ANCHOR: As the United States and its allies in the war on terrorism press the hunt for Osama bin Laden, CBS News has exclusive information tonight about where bin Laden was and what he was doing in the last hours before his followers struck the United States September 11. ...

(BEGIN VIDEOTAPE) BARRY PETERSEN, CBS CORRESPONDENT (voice-over): Everyone remembers what happened on September 11. Here's the story of what may have happened the night before. It is a tale as twisted as the hunt for Osama bin Laden.

CBS News has been told that the night before the September 11 terrorist attack, Osama bin Laden was in Pakistan. He was getting medical treatment with the support of the very military that days later pledged its backing for the U.S. war on terror in Afghanistan.

Pakistan intelligence sources tell CBS News that bin Laden was spirited into this military hospital in Rawalpindi for kidney dialysis treatment. On that night, says this medical worker who wanted her identity protected, they moved out all the regular staff in the urology department and sent in a secret team to replace them. She says it was treatment for a very special person. The special team was obviously up to no good. ...

(END VIDEOTAPE) END (2)

Bin Laden has been killed many times for an attack with which he was unassociated. His most recent "death" was staged in Abbotabad this year. The SEALs who allegedly killed him were themselves later killed.

But the real Osama appears to have died back in the last days of 2001.

Think about it for a moment. If bin Laden was on dialysis then, how could he have outrun those looking for him for ten years? Did he drag his dialysis machine about after himself? Very unlikely. Few people on dialysis have been known to survive ten years, as far as I'm aware. If you know differently, please tell me.

Revealing that Osama was probably killed in 2001 may have been the cause of the assassination of Benazir Bhutto (see video below). Undoubtedly the same people who ordered the assassination of Bhutto could tell us much about what really happened on 9/11.

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=4TeYyHt3JZ4>

Well, if Osama was not in an Afhahi cave directing matters, what about the nineteen "Arab" hijackers who allegedly did all the damage? After 9/11, the UK Telegraph tracked down at least six of the supposedly-dead hijackers. They were living in Saudi Arabia and Morocco. Ooops!

Dead or alive? I realize that these same nineteen hijackers outfoxed NORAD, the FBI, the CIA and airport security, but could they outfox death?

Take the case of Waleed al-Shehri, one of the hijackers for whom the FBI produced a photograph and the 9/11 Commission named as a hijacker, who allegedly stabbed one of the flight attendants on Flight 11 before it slammed into the North Tower.

But Al-Shehri, who lives in Morocco, where he works as a pilot, saw his photo and reported to the authorities. The BBC interviewed him. How could he be both dead and alive?

Saudia Arabia presented the facts on its citizens who were still alive to George Bush, who accepted them. But this account was not reported in the mainstream media. Why?

The discovery of the hijackers alive contradicts the official version and renders it not credible.

The authorities – the same ones who remained” oblivious” to 9/11 happening – say they identified precisely who the hijackers were (and that within a day of 9/11).

Quite improbably, they say they even recovered one of the hijacker's passports from the World Trade Center debris, not even singed with fire. It bears the hijacker's name.

Yet none of the names of the hijackers appears on the flight manifests.

It is highly unlikely that Arab passengers could get on a flight bearing passports with names like Smith or Jorgenson. Yet no Arab names appear on the manifest of any plane associated with 9/11.

How could these Arab hijackers have gotten through security and onboard the flights? No one suggests that they came in from, say, the baggage compartment. They are described as being among the passengers. So how did they board?

These are examples of how I cannot know what the true story is, but I *can* know where the official story falls down.

Evidence is surfacing that Mohammed Atta and several other "hijackers" were murdered in Hamburg by Mossad some time before 9/11 and their identities stolen and used by Mossad agents to pose as them in the weeks and months before the attack.

Remember that Mossad agents were discovered celebrating the crash of the airplanes into the buildings. They were arrested but never charged, and quietly deported. Why not charged?

And so it goes with the "official version" of 9/11. Contradictions, inconsistencies, and other failures to explain crop up at us at every turn. And yet on the basis of this inadequate and contradicted evidence, we've condemned a whole community.

We ask for justice for the families of 9/11 because they are innocent victims of this catastrophe. I agree. But I also see the Muslim community at large as an innocent victim. Some day we'll need to address the fact that the real perpetrators of 9/11 selected Muslims as their scapegoat and we've willingly gone along with that manipulation.

The American Muslim community is innocent of the black events of Sept. 11, 2001 and some day we'll be obliged to acknowledge that fact. Why make that

community more miserable for events with which it had no connection? The time to exonerate the American Muslim community is now.

Footnotes

(1) See also Steve Beckow, "This 9/11, It's Time to Apologize to Muslims," 2012 Scenario, <https://goldenageofgaia.com/accountability/911-essays/this-911-its-time-to-apologize-to-muslims/>; Steve Beckow, "In the Name of All who Died on 9/11, We Must Act Now," OpEdNews, Sept. 4, 2007, at <https://tinyurl.com/32zts6o>, "To Muslims of America, I Apologize (Reissued)," OpEdNews, Oct. 17, 2007, at <https://tinyurl.com/mvlybr>; and Steve Beckow, "What War on Terror?" OpEdNews, Oct. 28, 2008, at <https://tinyurl.com/2ujmx9s>.

(2) CBS News, 28 January 2002, in Michel Chossudovsky, "Where was Osama on September 11, 2011," Sept. 11, 2008, Global Research, at <https://www.globalresearch.ca/index.php?context=va&aid=3194>

This 9/11, It's Time to Apologize to Muslims

September 11, 2012

<https://goldenageofgaia.com/2010/09/11/this-911-its-time-to-apologize-to-muslims/>



OpEdNews, Sept. 11, 2010, at <https://tinyurl.com/23wdm5y>

This 9/11, you and I need to correct a matter that is long overdue and urgent.

We need to understand that neither the Muslims of America, nor a Muslim bloc in Afghanistan, nor "world Islam" had anything to do with 9/11.

But before I start, let me state something about myself so that you don't afterwards stumble upon it and disqualify my speaking or feel that I was dishonest with you.

First of all I'm a Canadian, and not an American. However I was as much affected by 9/11 as you.


While I've had a relatively conservative career as a Member of the Immigration and Refugee Board of Canada, at the same time I harbor ideas which many in the mainstream don't.

For instance, I believe in the reality of extraterrestrial life (1) and acknowledge the large volume of non-terrestrial spacecraft (and terrestrial spacecraft like the TR3-B from the secret Majestic-12 space agency, Solar Warden) in our skies. I acknowledge the end of a cycle approaching in the year 2012 - not the end of the world, but the beginning of a new way of life.

For me these acknowledgements are not something I feel ashamed of, but feel proud of. I feel I must risk whatever comes my way as a result of accepting what I regard as the truth. I endure some ridicule for these beliefs, but no matter.

But if you cannot hear these words from anyone speaking outside your belief structure, by all means, put this article down. If you can, read on.

The charges that Muslims are responsible for 9/11, and the fraudulent cover-up arrangements designed to prove that connection, are all, as far as I can see, without basis in fact. They are all designed to deflect attention from the real perpetrators of 9/11.

You probably recall George Bush telling us after 9/11 not to pay any attention to conspiracy theories. His own theory that it was Muslims who carried out 9/11 is the real conspiracy theory. You'd be well advised to follow his advice and not believe George Bush. 

People who examine 9/11 labor under difficulties. Pertinent information is withheld by the real perpetrators in the FBI, CIA, NORAD, the Pentagon, State Department, MOSSAD, banks, business, and other agencies and institutions.

You have only to think of the many cameras that covered the Pentagon on 9/11 and to consider why their photos have not been released to see that pertinent information has been withheld. So investigators into 9/11 labor under the difficulty of never knowing what the truth is.

When I was a refugee adjudicator, I also labored under that difficulty. I could know when a a refugee claimant told me something that was not true by testing its credibility. The false version did not stand up to questioning. But I seldom knew what the truth was.

Likewise with 9/11. We can know what is not true but we cannot necessarily know what is. We can say what does not stand up to investigation, but we cannot easily know what really happened.

What is not true is that nineteen Arab (or Muslim) hijackers brought down the World Trade Center or Flight 93 or flew a "plane" into the Pentagon.

I've written about these matters elsewhere and certainly many others have looked at them as well and the factual basis upon which I make these allegations is reported there. (2) Everything I say here is covered in those sources. You can also google anything I say here and read the evidence. My aim is not to review the facts in detail but to summarize.

The summary is this.

The authorities - the same ones who remained "oblivious" to 9/11 happening - say they have identified precisely who the hijackers were (and that within a day of 9/11). Quite improbably, they say they even recovered one of the hijacker's passports from the World Trade Center debris, not even singed with fire. It bears the hijacker's name.

Yet none of the names of the hijackers appears on the flight manifests.

It is highly unlikely that Arab passengers could get on a flight bearing passports with names like Smith or Jorgenson. Yet no Arab names appear on the manifest of any plane associated with 9/11.

How could these Arab hijackers have gotten through security and onboard the flights? No one suggests that they came in from, say, the baggage compartment. They are described as being among the passengers. So how did they board?

Here is an example of how I cannot know what the true story is, but I can know where the official story is not credible.

Evidence is surfacing that Mohammed Atta and several other "hijackers" were murdered in Hamburg by Mossad some time before 9/11 and their identities stolen and used by Mossad agents to pose as them in the weeks and months before the attack.

Remember that Mossad agents were discovered celebrating the crash of the airplanes into the buildings. They were arrested but never charged, and quietly deported. Why not charged?

The UK Telegraph tracked down at least six of the supposedly-dead hijackers after 9/11. They were living in Saudi Arabia and Morocco.

Take the case of Waleed al-Shehri, one of the hijackers for whom the FBI produced a photograph and the 9/11 Commission named as a hijacker, who allegedly stabbed one of the flight attendants on Flight 11 before it slammed into the North Tower.

But Al-Shehri, who lives in Morocco, where he works as a pilot, saw his photo and reported to the authorities. The BBC interviewed him. How could he be both dead and alive?

Saudia Arabia presented the facts on its citizens who were still alive to George Bush, who accepted them. But this account was not reported in the mainstream media. Why?

The discovery of the hijackers alive contradicts the official version and renders it not credible.

The hijackers' purported leader, Osama bin Laden, was nowhere near an Afghani cave on 9/11, supposedly broadcasting his glee at the success of the attacks. He was lying in a Rawalpindi military hospital having kidney dialysis and surrounded by Pakistani troops, as was reported by Dan Rather on CBS News.

All the regular employees of the hospital were sent home while bin Laden was present. What unusual precautions for a man wanted at the time for blowing up the American Embassy in Kenya. Why would the Pakistani army, allegedly an American ally, protect and heal bin Laden?

In case you're asking yourself why American officials did not have him arrested, bin Laden had been lying in a Dubai hospital in July of 2001 and was actually visited by the local CIA chief. The man did not attempt to have him arrested. No assassination squad visited him in the dead of night. Whatever the visit was about, we do not know. And yet, at the time, bin Laden was wanted for the Embassy bombing.

In fact, as I understand it, Osama bin Laden was an employee of the CIA and worked under the alias "Tim Osman." To this day he has never been charged by the FBI with 9/11. He was not indicted before a grand jury, probably because that would have caused some embarrassing questions to be asked and some treasonous facts to be revealed.

The search for bin Laden ended years ago. The CIA unit responsible for seeking him was disbanded. George Bush lost interest in him. It is suspected that he died as long ago as December 2001.

Yet Hilary Clinton still beats the bushes, saying he is alive, that the Pakistanis are harboring him, and that he must be found.

Hillary Clinton is Secretary of State. How could she not have access to the information that bin Laden is in all likelihood dead? Does it not impugn Clinton's credibility to be accusing our erstwhile allies, who actually did harbor bin Laden once, probably at the CIA's request, of harboring what in all likelihood is a dead man?

So far in this article, we've seen no evidence of Muslim involvement.

But let's look closer. Yes, we do have reports of Pakistani Inter-Services Intelligence General Mahmud Ahmad receiving \$100,000 from American sources in Washington on 9/11 which allegedly were paid to "Mohammed Atta." So, yes, some Muslims caused 9/11: A general in the Pakistani ISI was involved.

But you can't persuade me that the Homeland Security Department was begun to protect us from the ISI. It was begun to protect us from Muslim "terrorists," none of whom existed.

When a government behaves in this manner, creating a phony event in order to start a war or restrict the civil liberties of its citizens, we call it a "false-flag" operation.

There is reason to believe that the destruction of the U.S.S. Maine was a false-flag operation.

The Japanese created a false-flag incident to kickstart their invasion of China. Hitler burned down the Reichstag in a false-flag operation.

President Roosevelt came precious near to one by failing to report that he knew a Japanese fleet was bearing down on Pearl Harbor. He wanted America to join World War II and so he kept his knowledge hidden.

Chairman of the Joint Chiefs of State Lyman Lemnitzer was planning a false-flag incident against Cuba in the 1960s, revealed by the now-infamous Northwoods report. (Google.)

President Johnson created a false-flag operation, claiming an American warship was attacked in the Gulf of Tonkin when none was.

He also ordered the attack on the U.S.S. Liberty, which was also a false-flag incident, designed to draw the U.S. into a war with Egypt. Unfortunately the Liberty refused to sink.

The list goes on and on. False-flag incidents are commonly used by all countries to create a pretext for war or a domestic crackdown, such as the United States experienced under George Bush.

Every time we charge the Muslim population with having carried out 9/11 we are perpetrating an injustice. We are adding to the weight of matters for which we'll have to apologize later.

It's time to break this cycle of stigmatizing, ostracizing, and persecuting people for something they did not do.

The families of 9/11 victims were themselves victims and want justice. Justice does not lie in persecuting others who were also innocent and I think the families can understand and appreciate that.

I myself am Jewish. I'm neither a Zionist nor a person who somehow hates Muslims. I'd like to think that a great number of my compatriots are like me.

I have no axe to grind with my brothers and sisters of the Muslim faith. We worship the same God, they in their fashion, I in mine. We both work and raise families. We serve the nation in the ways that we do.

I acknowledge that I bought the myth of Muslim responsibility for 9/11 for some years and was as afraid of Muslims in general as many people are today.

But I also acknowledge that I was wrong, that I accepted the cover story propagated by the people responsible for 9/11. For someone did it. Someone caused those airplanes to crash, the passengers to die, and the buildings to come down. But the real people responsible for 9/11 remain free today and continue to work their woe. They're the ones who have set us against Muslims, to cover their tracks.

They are the real creators of the "war on terror." They are the real terrorists. Whenever a terror alert goes off, just know that you are being manipulated. All the airport security? Unneeded. The shoe bombers and Christmas Bombers who try to blow up planes are mind-controlled "Manchurian candidates," employed by the CIA. (If mind-control is not something you're familiar with, google "MK Ultra.")

Muslims of America, neither are you my enemies nor am I yours. I apologize for the false charges I brought against you. I also apologize for the pain you've suffered, for the lives put on hold, for the restriction in your ability to live your lives freely like the rest of us.

I apologize for the ridicule you've had to endure, as the current "Ground-Zero Mosque" controversy illustrates. I also acknowledge that, if there has been any aggressive response on your part since then, I and others who falsely accused you bear a measure of responsibility for having incited that.

Authoritarian regimes work by scapegoating, by hiding their machinations, and by restricting the rights and freedoms of their citizens. Falsely accusing you was the means by which an authoritarian regime in America achieved its purposes of declaring an illegal war on Afghanistan and Iraq and restricting the constitutional rights of its citizens.

They are responsible for the deaths of millions, not just 3,000 on 9/11. The first step in stopping them in their carnage is to hold them accountable for the mass murder they created that day when they blew up buildings and appear to have murdered the passengers in four planes.

The real culprits behind 9/11 must be brought before a grand jury and tried for their crimes. Our responsibility to Muslims falsely accused must be acknowledged.

I'm not suggesting that we approach Muslims as an act of interfaith forgiveness. There is nothing to forgive. We are the ones who must, and will one day, ask for forgiveness.

It's time instead to acknowledge our error, And, if readers don't agree with me that an error has been committed, at least desist from compounding it until evidence you find compelling has been presented to you. At least have a doubt in your mind about whether you're doing the right thing.

From several angles apart from 9/11 - from the teachings of Jesus that many anti-Muslims profess, from the standpoint of the human rights enshrined in Constitution, Bill of Rights, and the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, and from the standpoint of logic that the Muslim who stands before you in all likelihood had nothing to do with 9/11 - deciding not to participate in the Islamophobia that has resulted from this false-flag operation is the right thing to do.

Footnotes

(1) Here I'm in the good company of the Royal Society of Great Britain, which has just announced it's holding a conference Oct. 4-5, 2010, on the consequences of the possible discovery of extraterrestrial life. See <https://royalsociety.org/Event.aspx?id=1887>

(2) Steve Beckow, "In the Name of All who Died on 9/11, We Must Act Now," OpEdNews, Sept. 4, 2007, at <https://tinyurl.com/32zts6o>, "To Muslims of America, I Apologize (Reissued)," OpEdNews, Oct. 17, 2007, at <https://tinyurl.com/mvlybr>; and Steve Beckow, "What War on Terror?" OpEdNews, Oct. 28, 2008, at <https://tinyurl.com/2ujmx9s>. See also my website, "What in the World is Happening in America?" at <https://www.freewebs.com/truthseeker22> .

Correcting the Representation of Muslims

January 9, 2019

<https://goldenageofgaia.com/2019/01/09/correcting-the-representation-of-muslims/>



A significant wing of western societies wishes to represent Muslims as hate-filled and war-mongering. Very little publicity is given to their actions for love and peace.

The *Good News Network* features a number of stories down through the years in which Muslim communities in several countries have taken the lead in actions for the latter.

We Muslims have one word for Jews. Shalom.

As British Muslims, we believe that the time has come to speak out. For far too long, anti-Semitism has gone unchecked. Sadly, it has become entrenched across society. Its poison can be found in all political parties and among followers of all faiths, including Islam.

Eradicating it is a challenge faced by all of us.

We understand that many in our country empathize with the Palestinians and their right to a sovereign state. We welcome the defence of the rights of all people.

Muslims Take Out Full-Page Newspaper Ad to Denounce Anti-Semitism Against “Our Jewish Sisters and Brothers”

Good News Network, May 26, 2018

<https://tinyurl.com/yb5h914u>

In giant, bold black text across the top, the ad read: “We Muslims have one word for Jews. Shalom.”

“As British Muslims, we believe that the time has come to speak out. For far too long, anti-Semitism has gone unchecked,” the ad continued. “Eradicating it is a challenge faced by all of us.

“We understand that many in our country empathize with the Palestinians and their right to a sovereign state. However, we must be ever vigilant against those who cynically use international issues to vilify Jews or promote anti-Semitic tropes. There is no cause that can justify the promotion of hate.”

“Just as we challenge those who recycle anti-Muslim tropes, we stand firmly against racism directed at our Jewish sisters and brothers.”

The ad, which was published in the Telegraph on Thursday, was signed by such major advocacy groups as anti-extremism group Faith Matters; Muslims Against Anti-Semitism; and the Association of British Muslims.

According to the Jewish Telegraphic Agency, the statement was a welcomed follow-up to the Board of Deputies of British Jews denouncing Islamophobia last week.

The Board of Deputies of British Jews expressed their appreciation for the ad on Twitter, saying: “Incredible solidarity – a full-page ad by Muslim sisters and brothers in today’s Telegraph. Thank you. Together we will defeat the twin evils of anti-Semitism and anti-Muslim hate.”



Thousands of Muslims Form “Human Shield” to Defend Christians From Terrorism

Good News Network, Jan. 11, 2011

<https://www.goodnewsnetwork.org/muslim-human-shield-protects-coptics/>

On New Year's Day, a devastating terrorist bombing at a Coptic Christian church in Egypt killed 21 people and injured 79 others. It was assumed the culprits were Muslim extremists, intent on targeting those they saw as heretics.

The following week, as Egyptian Copts attended mass at churches across the country to celebrate their Christmas, "thousands" of Muslims, including "the two sons of President Hosni Mubarak," joined them, acting as "human shields" to protect them from possible terrorist attack.

The Muslims organized under the slogan "We either live together or we die together."



Norway Muslims Form Human Shield Around Jewish Synagogue

Good News Network, Feb. 21, 2015

<https://tinyurl.com/yb583u8w>

Europe's Jews face rising anti-Semitism in some countries, but multiculturalism is far from dead. Today, more than 1,000 Muslims formed a human shield around Oslo's synagogue, offering protection and solidarity with Jews following a violent attack in Copenhagen last weekend.

Chanting “No to anti-Semitism, no to Islamophobia,” Norway’s Muslims and others formed what they called a ring of peace during the event organized on Facebook.

“Humanity is one, and we are here to demonstrate that,” Zeeshan Abdullah, one of the organizers told the crowd of Muslim immigrants and ethnic Norwegians who filled the street around Oslo’s only synagogue.

An English translation of the [Facebook page](#) reads: “Islam is about protecting our brothers and sisters, regardless of which religion they belong to. Islam is about rising above hate and never sinking to the same level as the haters... Muslims want to show that we deeply deplore all types of hatred of Jews, and that we are there to support them.”

(**READ** the story in [the Jerusalem Post](#))



Young Muslims Wake Up Early to Clean Up the Streets After New Years Celebrations

Good News Network, Jan. 1, 2019

<https://tinyurl.com/yaz433k7>

While thousands of people are still in bed sleeping off the effects of their New Year's Eve festivities, these young Muslims woke up early to clean up the aftermath of last night's partying.

Over 1,000 young members of the Ahmadiyya Muslim Youth Association (AMYA) took to the streets of 50 different UK localities and cities for a New Year's Day clean-up.

The young men and women even kicked off the event by hosting a special prayer for the new year before they donned their high-visibility vests and tackled the streets with garbage bags in hand.

"From Luton to London, Glasgow to Guilford, Cardiff to Croydon, across the entire country 1,000 members of AMYA participated in the New Year's Day clean up," said British Ahmadiyya Imam Qamar Zafar.

"Islam urges every Muslim to partake in charitable giving, community service, and promote cleanliness," he added. "AMYA has and continues to instill young people with a sense of civic responsibility, and a desire to promote harmony within our local communities."

"We've been doing the New Year's clean-up for many years now, and each year we see more and more members coming to help," added AMYA Yorkshire youth coordinator Nadeem Ahmed. "In Islam, 'Cleanliness is Part of Faith' so there's no better way to serve our faith and our country, there's no better way for us to start the new year."

Further Reading

"To Muslims of America, I Apologize (Reissued)," OpEdNews, Oct. 17, 2007, at <https://tinyurl.com/mvlybr>

"What War on Terror?" OpEdNews, Oct. 28, 2008, at <https://tinyurl.com/2ujmx9s>.

"This 9/11, It's Time to Apologize to Muslims," September 11, 2012, at <https://goldenageofgaia.com/2012/09/11/this-911-its-time-to-apologize-to-muslims-2/>

“This 9/11, Vindicate the American Muslim Community,” September 3, 2011, at <https://goldenageofgaia.com/2011/09/03/this-911-vindicate-the-american-muslim-community/>

Muslims Did Not Cause 9/11. We Did

September 11, 2023

<https://goldenageofgaia.com/?p=347794>



It's been 22 years since 9/11.

We're learning more and more each year about how that tremendously-tragic attack on everything progressive and decent was staged.

Everything progressive and decent?

Remember that NESARA was to be announced from the World Trade Center an hour after the attack took place. (1) For me, NESARA crystallizes everything progressive and decent.

I've long since stopped following the research that continues to be done into the events of that day. What I wanted to discuss now is undoing some of the evil that resulted.

In particular I refer to the vilification of Muslims.

We're surely seeing by now how we can be led by the nose by a concerted thematic attack on a people by a controlled press, designed to hide the real culprits behind the event.

This is not Nazi Germany. This is our generation in real time - like *now!*

The real purposes of 9/11 seem to have been to curtail the civil rights of Americans while securing the poppy fields of Afghanistan and the oil of Iraq and Iran. Iran proved a bridge too far.

But Matthew Ward says the truth goes much farther than that, as we're learning today:

“In the higher vibrations in which Earth is now orbiting, that truth [about who planned and executed 9/11] cannot be hidden much longer; when it emerges along with its even deeper purpose than controlling oil resources in the Mideast, which is to dominate the entire planet and kill or enslave its peoples, the Illuminati’s long reign of terror will meet its just end.” (2)

Fake pandemics and DEW attacks later, we may be ready to believe that a group really does want world control and to kill or enslave its people, and that group is not Muslim. It's that group that caused the tragic events of 9/11. Ethnically and religiously speaking, that group is mostly American and Christian. Certainly its top planners were.

In other words, that group is us.

An elaborate but implausible scheme was concocted that involved some Muslim actors. All evidence that went against the official story was suppressed.

Here are just a few unresolved contradictions. A passenger jet could not have behaved like the "one" flown into the Pentagon. The suspected pilot, Hani Hanjour, could not have flown it. It took out the budget-oversight officials looking into the

theft of \$2.3 Trillion, referred to by Donald Rumsfeld the previous day. That tied up that loose end.

Firemen heard explosions - in the basement! - before the "planes" crashed into the WTC. No (credible) parts of the "aircraft" were ever found. The evidence was quickly shipped to China.

On and on the contradictions, inconsistencies, and improbabilities go that were suppressed by the mainstream media.



Meanwhile the narrative made world Islam the villain in much the same way that Hitler did world Jewry. Mullahs replaced rabbis. Accounts of them played up only negative aspects or events. Memes circulated.

The deep state created and funded terrorist organizations within the Muslim world (eg., Al Qaida, Isis) and then pointed to them as proof of their allegations about "Arab terrorists."

The leaders who did this (the Bushes, Cheney, Rumsfeld, etc.) are mostly gone, said to be executed for their crimes (I consider any executions regrettable). But we as a society have not acknowledged our culpability in falsely accusing world Islam of somehow being behind or complicit in the crimes of 9/11.

Perhaps you'd like to join me today in asking the forgiveness of all Muslims for having suspected that they played a role in the events of 9/11. Any amount of research would have shown the allegation not to have been true.

We allowed ourselves to be stirred up by our leaders, not unlike the way we've allowed it today over Covid, transgenderism, climate change, and the other ways the deep state divides to conquer. We are susceptible.

Footnotes

(1) NESARA stands for National Economic Security and Reformation Act, an act of the American Congress which is the keystone of a now-planetary rewrite of the global economy, government, the legal system, etc.

NESARA's computers were in the World Trade Center, at exactly the point where one of the "planes" (probably a DEW/scalar weapon) struck. The gold to finance NESARA was in the basement, accounting for the rivers of gold workmen reported after 9/11.

Twenty-two years later, we're still struggling to have NESARA - or now G/NESARA - announced and implemented.

Of the cancelled announcement of NESARA, Matthew Ward said:

"All of that and more would have been explained by internationally respected persons and extraterrestrial visitors in the globally-televised program that was prevented by '9/11.'" (Matthew's Message, Oct. 23, 2017, at <https://www.matthewbooks.com>.)

(2) Ibid., Sept. 14, 2008.